



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SIVAGANGAI.

PRESENT: **Tmt.K.Arivoli., M.A., M.L.,**

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai.

Tuesday, the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March - 2026

**Cr.M.P.No.491/2026**

*(CNR No.TNSV01000841-2026)*

1. Praveen @ Thiyagarajan, (Age 35), (A1) S/o.Murugan, Kandupatti Village, Sengulipatti Post, Kalaiyarkovil Taluk, Sivagangai District.
2. Mohammed Satham Hussain, (Age 35) (A3) S/o.Kadhar Oli, Kamar Street, Natrasankottai, Kalaiyarkovil Taluk, Sivagangai District.
3. Ajithkumar, (Age 28) (A2) S/o.Sivaji, Nethaji Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Ward, Nattarasankottai, Kalaiyarkovil Taluk, Sivagangai District.

. . . Petitioners/Accused

Vs.

The State rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Sivagangai Taluk Police Station.  
(Cr.No.79/2026)

. . . Respondent / Complainant

The petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.A.Kannan, Advocate for the Petitioners and of Thiru.A.Alagarsamy, Public Prosecutor for the State, after hearing both sides and perusing the written reply submitted by the respondent and records, this Court delivers the following . . .

**ORDER:-**

1. The petitioners, who are in judicial custody for the offences charged u/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 351(3) of B.N.S Act in Crime No.79/2026 of the respondent police since 05.03.2026 is seeking for their release on bail u/s.483 of B.N.S.S.

2. According to the petitioners, they are ranked as A1 to A3 in the crime number and there are totally four accused in the present case and as against them, allegations have been levelled by the *defacto* complainant alleging that the petitioners along with another accused abused the defacto complainant with filthy language in



public place and assaulted him by using hands and A1 poured petrol on him and tried to set him on fire with an intention to murder and also threatened him with dire consequences. According to the petitioners, they are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The Petitioners have given undertaking that they are ready to abide by any condition imposed by the Court and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate. Hence, the Petitioners pray for considering their bail application.

3. The respondent filed his detailed objections in writing and the learned Public Prosecutor had submitted that the investigation is still pending and the petitioners are involved in several previous cases. In the event, if the petitioners are released on bail, they would abscond and may not appear before the court by abiding the condition. They may threaten the defacto complainant and his family members and hence the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of bail application to the petitioners.

4. By considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that the petitioners are in judicial custody from 05.03.2026 and the petitioners are ranked as A1 to A3 in the present case and the injured has already been discharged from the hospital. Considering the fact that though the petitioners got previous cases, they were granted bail in all those cases and the injured has already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to consider the bail application of the petitioners with the following stringent conditions.

In the result, ***the petition is allowed*** and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing bond for Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties each of like sum



to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sivagangai with a condition that the petitioner shall stay at Dindigul District and appear, sign before the West Town Police Station, Dindigul daily morning at 10.00 a.m., and evening at 05.00 p.m., and shall sign for 30 days and thereafter, shall appear on summons.

The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression and the Judicial Magistrate while considering the sureties, shall obtain the copy of their Aadhar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

The petitioners' photograph and Aadhaar Card or bank pass book copy shall also be obtained while considering the sureties.

The Petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by the respondent police Officer as and when required for enquiry.

The Petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade from disclosing such facts to the court or to any Police Officer. That the petitioners shall not leave the State of Tamil Nadu without prior permission of the learned Judicial Magistrate. The Petitioners shall not commit further offences of similar nature and shall appear before the respondent police station as and when required.

The Petitioners shall co-operate for early disposal of the matter.

On breach of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate, before whom the case is pending is at liberty to initiate / take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as laid down in the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State reported in AIR 2005 SCW 5560.



.4.

*Cr.MP.No.491/2026*

In the event, if the petitioners absconds, a fresh F.I.R shall be registered u/s.269 of B.N.S.

Given by me, this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March – 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Sivagangai.

**Copy to :**

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sivagangai.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Sivagangai.
3. The Inspector of Police, Sivagangai Taluk Police Station and West Town P.S, Dindigul
4. Counsels for the Petitioners.