

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE No.II, METTUR

**Present: N.Gopalakrishnan., B.Sc., B.L.,
Judicial Magistrate No.2(FAC), Mettur.**

25th day of June 2026, Thursday

CrI.M.P. No.534/2026

CNR. No. TNSA18-001452-2026

Lalluprasath, (32), S/o.Late Sekar

...Petitioner/ Accused

Vs.

State represented by Inspector of Police

Karumalaikoodal P.S.,

In Cr. No. 588/2025, U/s. 331(4), 305(a) BNS

...Respondent /

Complainant

This petition under section **480 of BNSS** to enlarge the petitioner on bail, filed on **15.06.2026** and came upon today **25.06.2026**, and Advocate **Mr.R.Jayakumar** appeared for the Petitioner and learned additional public prosecutor **Mrs.V.Anu Radha** appeared for the respondent, which are stood over for the consideration till today, this Court delivers the following,

ORDER.

1. Heard. Both sides. Records perused.
2. The petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been charged for an offense **under section 331(4), 305(a) BNS**. The petitioner is arrested and remanded on **02.04.2026**. The petitioner is ready to furnish sufficient sureties before this Court.

3. The respondent Police, in his reply stated that, if the accused is enlarged on bail he might dispurse the witnesses by Influence. At this stage if the petitioner released on bail he may abscond, tamper the witnesses and again he may commit the same kind of offense. Hence strongly opposed to grant bail.
4. The learned APP in his reply stated that, if the accused has previous case history. If the accused enlarged on bail he might be try to dispurse the witness. Hence strongly oppose to grant bail.
5. On perusal of records the accused was in judicial custody for past 84 days.
6. The case records were perused. In the present case, charges carrying a maximum punishment of imprisonment for up to 14 years have been levelled against the accused. The investigation in this case has not yet been completed. Furthermore, the remand report indicates that the petitioner is involved in a total of 37 similar criminal cases across various police stations. Considering the aforementioned circumstances, this Court is of the view that if the accused is enlarged on bail, he would not hesitate to commit similar offences and may abscond, thereby causing hindrance. Hence, this court is not inclined to grant bail at this stage and **dismissed**.

This order dictated to the Typist and directly typewritten by her and verified, corrected, and signed by me, and pronounced in open Court on this 25th day of June 2026.

**Judicial Magistrate No.II(FAC),
Mettur.**