

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, METTUR

**Present : Thiru.D.Myilswamy, B.Com, B.L.,
Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Mettur.**

Wednesday, the 01st day of April – 2026

CC. No. 667/2024

CNR No : TNSA18-002530-2024

State of Tamil Nadu

Rep by the sub Inspector of police,
Jalakandapuram Police station,
Cr.No.82/2024

... **Complainant**

//vs//

A1.Yuvaraj, (aged about 53 years/2024)
S/o. Chinnappareddy,
Residing at Police Line Street,
Jalakandapuram, Now at P.U.Padasalai Street,
PSR Tex Opp,
Jalakandapuram,
Mettur Taluk, Salem District.

A2.Latha, (aged about 44 years/2024),
W/o. Yuvaraj,
Residing at P.U.Padasalai Street,
Jalakandapuram,
Mettur Taluk, Salem District.

A3.Sathishkumar, (aged about 27 years/2024)
S/o. Yuvaraj,
Residing at P.U.Padasalai Street,
Jalakandapuram,
Mettur Taluk, Salem District.

... **Accused No.1 to 3**

This case was taken on file on 02.12.2024 and came for final hearing before me on 27.03.2026 in the presence of Thiru.V.Shanmugasundaram, **Assistant Public Prosecutor**, the learned counsel for the complainant and **Thiru.R.Boopathy**, the learned counsel for the accused no.1 to 3 and upon hearing both side arguments and

on perusing the entire records and having stood over for consideration of the said case till date, this court both the following:

(Statement as per Rule 106 of the Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019)

1	Serial Number	CC No.667/2024
2	Name of Police Station & Crime Number	Jalakandapuram Police Station Cr.No.82 of 2024
3	Name of Accused	A1.Yuvaraj, S/o. Chinnappareddy, A2.Latha, W/o.Yuvaraj, A3.Sathishkumar, S/o. Yuvaraj,
4	Occupation	Coolie
5	Residence	A1:Residing at Police Line Street, Jalakandapuram, Now at P.U.Padasalai Street, PSR Tex Opp, Jalakandapuram, Mettur Taluk, Salem District. A2:Residing at P.U.Padasalai Street, Jalakandapuram, Mettur Taluk, Salem District. A3:Residing at P.U.Padasalai Street, Jalakandapuram, Mettur Taluk, Salem District.
6	Age	A1: (aged about 53 years/2024) A2: (aged about 44 years/2024), A3: (aged about 27 years/2024)
7	Occurrence (Date of offence)	02.05.2024
8	Complaint	07.05.2024
9	Apprehension (Date of Arrest)	A3:07.05.2024 A1 and A2 got AB

10	Release on Bail (Yes/No, Date)	A3:22.05.2024 A1 and A2 got AB
11	Commitment (to Court)	02.12.2024
12	Commencement of Trial	27.01.2026
13	Closure of Trial	26.03.2026
14	Sentence or Order (Verdict, Punishment)	01.04.2026
15	Service of Copy of Judgment to Accused	--
16	Explanation of Delay (if any)	--

JUDGMENT

1. The sub Inspector of Police of Jalakandapuram Police has laid final report that in the year of 2022 one Ramani was residing along with father in law, mother in law and her husband as a joint family. The said latha demand dowry of Rs.2,00,000/- from Ramani and caused fight then Ramani handed over her jewels. During ramani was stay in house, the said Yuvaraj has wrongly teased ramani by touch her stomach and insulted then criminally threatened. Then ramani went to her mother house due to fight with her husband, then continuously sent vulgar video messages to the cell phone belonged to Ramani and caused cruelty. Then ramani shown messages to her brother and mother and also forwarded to her husband. Then her husband decided to go separate residence but it was resisted by mother in law of ramani, on 02.05.2024 at around 11.00 P.M the said ramani husband come to her mother house in full drunken mood and demand to give child and scold filthily words, then attacked her mother in law, father in law and ramani brother. Relating to this, the ramani lodged complaint before Jalakandapuram Police Station, then registered the first information report in Cr.No.82/2024 for offence under section 294 (b), 323, 354(A), 509, 506(i) of IPC r/w section 4 of TNPHW Act, after completion of investigation, the accused No.1 to 4 had committed an offence punishable under section 294 (b), 352, 354(A), 509, 506(i) of IPC r/w section 4 of TNPHW Act by alteration.

2. This court on perusal of the material records had on satisfaction had taken cognizance of the offence under section 294 (b), 352, 354(A), 509, 506(i) of IPC r/w section 4 of TNPHW Act. Upon appearance of the accused No.1 to 3, copies of documents relied on the side of the prosecution was furnished to them under section 207 of Cr.p.c. On perusal of the materials placed by the prosecution, this Court felt that the materials placed by the prosecution were sufficient and adequate to presume prima facie case has been made out against the accused No.1 to 3 and the accusation explained against the accused No.1 to 3 and explained to the accused No.1 to 3 in tamil. The accused had denied the same as false case and pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried. Thereupon, the case was adjourned for examination of the prosecution witnesses.

3. In order to prove the guilt of the accused No.1 to 3, the prosecution had examined six witnesses as PW1 to PW6 and marked documents as EX.P.1 to EX.P.8 and marked Ex.Mo.1 to 3.

4. The case of the prosecution as deposed by the prosecution witness is as follows:

PW1 Ramani is residing at Jalakandapuram and she took marriage with accused no.3 sathish kumar after loved each other, after arrangement of marriage with permission of both side parents, she have one male child, she have given male child in the 7th month of 2022 in her parents house. Then after given birth, she had been residing with her husband as joint family, her husband borrowed amount of Rs.2,00,000 /- as debt, her mother in law demand Pw1 to receive amount of Rs.2,00,000/- from her mother. While Pw1 was alone, her father in law touch her stomach and acting wrongly, due to this fight prevail between Pw1 and her husband, then she went to her mother's house, her husband come in night time to her mother house and asked to give child in full drunken mood, then accused no.3 scold bad

words against Pw1's parents, then attacked Pw1's brother, then father in law of Pw1 sent vulgar message to cell phone, then she lodged complaint before jalakandapuram police station, she identified the signature in complaint, know the contents in complaint, the complaint marked as **ExP1**, her husband refused to come separately with Pw1, she produced two cell phone along with complaint, which marked as **Ex Mo No.1 and Ex Mo No.2**, she was enquired by police.

5. The Pw2 Kumaresan is residing at jalakandapuram and working as driver, the Pw1 is her daughter and her daughter love with accused no.3, on such arrangement of both side parents, both took marriage, both residing at jalakandapuram. The pw1 was residing with accused as joint family, during joint family the accused no.3 borrowed debt of Rs.2,00,000 /- thus accused no.2 demand amount through accused no.3. The accused no.1 has touched Pw1's stomach when she was alone and attempt to act wrong. Then Pw1 went to Pw2's house, then accused no.3 come in full drunken mood and asked to give male child and caused problem, then accused no.3 scold bad words against Pw1 to Pw3, then accused no.3 attacked Pw1, then Pw1 lodged complaint before police station, she was enquired by police. The Pw3 Valarmathi is residing at jalakandapuram and engaged in retail vegetable sales, the Pw1 is his daughter, he deposed similarly as like of Pw2, he was enquired by police.

6. The Pw4 senthil kumar is residing at jalakandapuram, he know the Pw1 and accused, both were loved and arranged marriage, before two years around 4 P.m., the jalakandapuram police were inspected valarmathi 's house and drawn sketch, he identified 1st signature in observation mahazar, the observation mahazar marked as **ExP2**, before two years around 4 P.m., the police were seized one cell phone in the presence of witness by prepared seizure mahazar, he identified 1st signature in seizure mahazar, the 1st signature marked as **ExP3**, he was enquired by police. The Pw5 sitheswaran is residing at jalakandapuram and doing finance, the Pw1 is his sister, in

the year of 2024, the Pw1 and the accused were fight among them, he did not know further details, he was enquired by police.

7. The Pw6 Mohankumar is working as head constable in Jalakandapuram police station, on 07.05.2024, the sub inspector Madhuraj was present in station, received complaint from Pw1, then registered the first information report in crime no.82/2024 for the offence under section 294 (b), 323, 354(A), 509, 506(i) of IPC r/w section 4 of TNPHW Act, the first information report marked as **Ex.P4**. Then proceed to the place of occurrence on 07.05.2024 at around 2.00 P.M., and drawn sketch in the presence of witness, the rough sketch marked as **ExP5**. On 07.05.2024 around 4.40 P.M., the cell phone was seized in the presence of witness by prepared seizure mahazar, the seizure mahazar marked as ExP6, then sent the case property to the court by prepared form 95, the form 95 marked as ExP7, the cell phone marked as Ex Mo No.3, then 3rd accused was arrested and sent to the court custody, then accused were obtained anticipatory bail from Honble district court. On completion of the investigation, the investigaton officer had laid down final report against the accused No.1 to 3 under section 294 (b), 323, 354(A), 509, 506(i) of IPC r/w section 4 of TNPHW Act, into under section 294 (b), 352, 354(A), 509, 506(i) of IPC r/w section 4 of TNPHW Act, by prepared alteration report. The alteration report marked as **ExP8**.

8. On completion of prosecution evidence, the incriminating materials available on records, as deposed by the prosecution witnesses, have been explained and questioned the accused No. 1 to 3 u/s 313(1) (b) of Cr.P.C for their answers. The accused No.1 to 3 denied their complicity of incriminating circumstances appeared against them and they have stated that it is false case foisted against them.

9. The accused 1 to 3 stated that they had defence witness and later stated that there is no defence witness and after recording the same, this court heard both sides.

10. Both sides heard. The evidence of witnesses and material records have been perused.

11. **THE POINT FOR CONSIDERATION:**

Whether the prosecution had proved the charges under section 294 (b), 352, 354(A), 509, 506(i) of IPC r/w section 4 of TNPHW Act, as against the accused No.1 to 3 with relevant evidence and documents beyond all the reasonable doubt ?

12. **ANSWER TO THE POINT:**

The charges against the accused that in the year of 2022 one Ramani was residing along with father in law, mother in law and her husband as a joint family. The said latha demand dowry of Rs.2,00,000/- from Ramani and caused fight then Ramani handed over her jewels. During ramani was stay in house, the said Yuvaraj has wrongly teased ramani by touch her stomach and insulted then criminally threatened. Then ramani went to her mother house due to fight with her husband, then continuously sent vulgar video messages to the cell phone belonged to Ramani and caused cruelty. Then ramani shown messages to her brother and mother and also forwarded to her husband. Then her husband decided to go separate residence but it was resisted by mother in law of ramani, on 02.05.2024 at around 11.00 P.M the said ramani husband come to her mother house in full drunken mood and demand to give child and scold filthily words, then attacked her mother in law, father in law and ramani brother. Relating to this, the ramani lodged complaint before Jalakandapuram Police Station, then registered the first information report in Cr.No.82/2024 for offence under section 294 (b), 323, 354(A), 509, 506(i) of IPC r/w section 4 of TNPHW Act, after completion of investigation, the accused No.1 to 4 had committed an offence punishable under section 294 (b), 352, 354(A), 509, 506(i) of IPC r/w section 4 of TNPHW Act by alteration.

13. To prove the charges against the accused No.1 to 3, the prosecution had relied upon the oral evidence of PW1 to Pw6 and EX.P.1 to EX.P8 and Ex Mo No.1 to Ex mo No.3. In this case, that in the year of 2022 one Ramani was residing along with father in law, mother in law and her husband as a joint family. The said latha demand dowry of Rs.2,00,000/- from Ramani and caused fight then Ramani handed over her jewels. During ramani was stay in house, the said Yuvaraj has wrongly teased ramani by touch her stomach and insulted then criminally threatened. Then ramani went to her mother house due to fight with her husband, then continuously sent vulgar video messages to the cell phone belonged to Ramani and caused cruelty. Then ramani shown messages to her brother and mother and also forwarded to her husband. Then her husband decided to go separate residence but it was resisted by mother in law of ramani, on 02.05.2024 at around 11.00 P.M the said ramani husband come to her mother house in full drunken mood and demand to give child and scold filthily words, then attacked her mother in law, father in law and ramani brother. The Pw1 in his evidence then after given birth, she had been residing with her husband as joint family, her husband borrowed amount of Rs.2,00,000 /- as debt, her mother in law demand Pw1 to receive amount of Rs.2,00,000/- from her mother. While Pw1 was alone, her father in law touch her stomach and acting wrongfully, due to this fight prevail between Pw1 and her husband, then she went to her mother's house, her husband come in night time to her mother house and asked to give child in full drunken mood, then accused no.3 scold bad words against Pw1's parents, then attacked Pw1's brother, then father in law of Pw1 sent vulgar message to cell phone, then she lodged complaint before jalakandapuram police station, later on compromise arrived with accused No.3, now Pw1 and accused no.3 jointly residing separately and to accept happiest life of Pw1 with accused no.3 to hide all past things, this court accepted the compromise, the extract portion of Pw1 cross examination herewith

“நாங்கள் தற்போது சேர்ந்து வாழ்ந்து வருகிறோம். நானும் எதிரிகளும் சமாதானம் ஆகிவிட்டோம். நான் தற்போது 3ம் எதிரியுடன் தான் வசித்து வருகிறேன். நான் தனியாக

இருக்கும் போது என் மாமனார் யுவராஜ் என்பவர் என் வயிற்றை தொட்டு தவறாக நடந்து கொள்ள முயற்சி செய்தார் என்றால் இல்லை. அடிக்கடி எனக்கும் என் கணவருக்கும் சண்டை வந்தது என்றால் இல்லை. என் கணவர் குடித்துவிட்டு என் மகனை கேட்டு இரவு வேளையில் தகராறு செய்தார் என்றால் இல்லை. என் பெற்றோர்களை தெவிடியா பையா, கண்டார ஒலி என்று சொல்லி பேசினார் என்றால் இல்லை, என் தம்பி சித்தேஷ்வரனை என் கணவர் கையில் தலைகவசம் வைத்து அடித்தார் என்றால் இல்லை. என் கணவர் என்னை தெவிடியா என்று திட்டினார் என்றால் இல்லை என் மாமனார் என் செல்போனிற்கு பாலியல் தொந்தரவு கொடுக்கும் வகையிலான குறுஞ்செய்திகளை அனுப்பி வந்தார் என்றால் இல்லை. பிறகு நான் ஜலகண்டாபுரம் காவல் நிலையம் சென்று எழுத்து மூலமான புகார் கொடுத்தேன் என்றால் சந்தேகத்தின் பேரில் கொடுத்தேன். புகாரிலுள்ள கையெழுத்து என்னுடையது தான். அதிலுள்ள விவரம் எனக்கு தெரியாது. தனிக்குடித்தனம் செல்லலாம் என்று என் கணவரிடம் சொல்லிய போது அவர் கேட்க மறுத்துவிட்டார் என்றால் இல்லை“ which reveals that Pw1 does not supported the prosecution.

14. The Pw3 turned hostile in cross examination, the extract portion of Pw3 herewith “நானும் எதிரியும் சமாதானம் ஆகிவிட்டோம். என் மகனும், 3ம் எதிரியும் தற்போது சேர்ந்து வாழ்ந்து வருகிறார்கள் என்றால் ஆமாம். என் மகள் தனியாக இருக்கும் போது யுவராஜ் என்பவர் என் மகள் வயிற்றை தொட்டு தவறாக நடந்து கொள்ள முயற்சி செய்ததாக என் மகள் சொன்னார் என்றால் இல்லை. இதனால் மன உளைச்சல் ஏற்பட்டதாக சொன்னார் என்றால் இல்லை. பிறகு என் மகள் என் வீட்டிற்கு வந்த பிறகு ஒரு நாள் என் மருமகன் குடித்துவிட்டு பேரனை கேட்டு இரவு வேளையில் தகராறு செய்தார் என்றால் இல்லை. என்னையும், என் கணவரையும் தெவிடியா பையா, கண்டார ஒலி என்று சொல்லி பேசினார் என்றால் இல்லை. எதிரி சதீஷ்குமார் என் மகனை தெவிடியா என்று திட்டினார் என்றால் இல்லை. பிறகு என் மகள் காவல் நிலையம் சென்று புகார் மனு கொடுத்தார் என்றால் இல்லை“ which reveals that Pw3 does not supported the prosecution, due to reunion of Pw1 and the accused no.3, the Pw3 turned hostile in cross examination.

15. Though the present officer stated about the fact of the case, but the Pw1, Pw3 does not supported the prosecution. Thus, the prosecution has not proved the case beyond reasonable doubt. Thus the offence under section 294 (b), 323 of IPC **is not proved beyond reasonable doubt against the accused No.1 to 4.**

16. In the result, this Court holds that the prosecution had not proved the offence under section 294 (b), 352, 354(A), 509, 506(i) of IPC r/w section 4 of TNPHW Act as against the accused No. 1 to 3 beyond reasonable doubt and the accused no. 1 to 3 are acquitted u/s 248(1) of Cr.p.c.

17. PROPERTY ORDER : The Property in C.P .No.78/2024, Dated:22.05.2024 (1) 9655145546 என்ற செல்போன் எண்ணுடைய JIO சிம்முடன் கூடிய Vivo செல்போன் ஒன்று. IMEI No. 1.863591040243952 2.863591040243945, (2) 22 பக்கங்கள் Vivo செல்லில் இருந்து பதிவிறக்கம் செய்யப்பட்டு பிரிண்டவுட் எடுக்கப்பட்ட புகைப்படம் மற்றும் வாசகம் அடங்கிய தாழ்கள் செல்போனில் இருந்து பதிவிறக்கம் செய்து பிரிண்டவுட் எடுக்க எனக்கு தெரியும். என்னால் எடுக்கப்பட்டது. (3) 9095071716 என்ற செல்போன் எண்ணுள்ள Airtel சிம்முடன் கூடிய Realme narzo செல்போன் ஒன்று. IMEI no. 1.866805051448699 2.86680505144868

1). Among them, the Ex.Mo.2 was taken print out from cell phone which comes around 22 pages it is to be destroyed after expiry of appeal period.

2) The case property 9655145546 என்ற செல்போன் எண்ணுடைய JIO சிம்முடன் கூடிய Vivo செல்போன் ஒன்று. IMEI No. 1.863591040243952 2.863591040243945, is hereby order to return to the owner of the property after verification and further order to return the cell phone after flash out the storage media and other things.

3) The case property 9095071716 என்ற செல்போன் எண்ணுள்ள Airtel சிம்முடன் கூடிய Realme narzo செல்போன் ஒன்று. IMEI no. 1.866805051448699 2.86680505144868 is hereby order to return to the owner of the property after

verification and further order to return the cell phone after flash out the storage media and other things.

Directly typed by me in the Computer and corrected by me and the Judgment pronounced in the open court on the 01st day of April 2026.

**Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Mettur.**

Prosecution side witnesses:-

PW1	Ramani
PW2	Kumaresan
PW3	Valarmathi
PW4	Senthil kumar
PW5	sithieswaran
PW6	Mohan kumar

Prosecution side Exhibits:- Judicial Form No.68

S.No of the exhibit	Description of the exhibit and its date	Date,When the exhibit was filed in the case	How marked	By Whom filed	Remarks
1.	Complaint statement	27.01.2026	Exhibit P1	Pw1	Original
2.	Observation mahazar	27.01.2026	Exhibit P2	Pw4	Original
3.	1 st signature in seizure mahazar	27.01.2026	Exhibit P3	Pw4	Original
4.	First information report	26.03.2026	Exhibit P4	Pw6	Original
5.	Rough sketch	26.03.2026	Exhibit P5	Pw6	Original

6.	Seizure mahazar	26.03.2026	Exhibit P6	Pw6	Original
7.	Form 95	26.03.2026	Exhibit P7	Pw6	Original
8.	Alteration report	26.03.2026	Exhibit P8	Pw6	Original

Material Objects:-

Defence side Witness:- -Nil-

Defence side Exhibits:- -Nil-

**Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Mettur.**

Note:

- 1) During the trial of the case, the accused is on bail and the bail bond executed by the accused shall stand cancelled.
- 2) No witnesses had been called for evidence more than three days.
- 3) Result of the judgment had been informed to the Prosecution/concerned police.