

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE No.II, METTUR

**Present: N.Gopalakrishnan., B.Sc., B.L.,
Judicial Magistrate No.2 (FAC), Mettur.**

16th day of June 2026, Tuesday

**MP.No.1/2026
in
CC.No.180/2026**

**Periyannan, (37) (S/o.) Kandhasamy,
Moolakkadu, Vanavasi, Mettur Taluk, Salem
District.**

...Petitioner/ Accused

Vs.

State represented by Sub Inspector of Police

Karumalaikoodal Police station,

In Cr. No. 65/2026, U/s. 331(3), 305(a) of BNS

**...Respondent /
Complainant**

This petition under section **480 of BNS** to enlarge the petitioner on bail, filed on **04.06.2026** and came upon today **16.06.2026**, and Advocate **S.Rameshkumar** appeared for the Petitioner and learned additional public prosecutor **V.Shanmugasundaram** appeared for the respondent, which are stood over for the consideration till today, this Court delivers the following,

ORDER.

1. Reply received from Police. Both sides Heard. Record perused.
2. The petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been charged for an offense **under section 305(a), 331(3) of BNS**. He is arrested and remanded on **09.02.2026**. He seeks bail by filing this petition.

3. The learned APP in his reply stated that, if the accused is released on bail he may abscond, he is a habitual offender and the case is under trial. Hence strongly oppose to grant bail.
4. Heard both sides. The case records were perused. The investigation in this case has been completed, and the final report has been filed; the criminal case is posted to 19.06.2026 for questioning the accused. Furthermore, it was submitted on behalf of the petitioner that there are no previous cases against the accused, that they are ready to furnish suitable sureties, and that they will abide by the conditions of this Court. Therefore, as it is no longer necessary to keep the petitioner in further judicial custody, this Court concludes that granting bail is in the interest of justice and accordingly orders the release of the accused on bail.

Considering the parameters of the case and the submissions made, this Court is of the view that the continuous judicial detention of the accused is not warranted. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/accused subject to the following condition:

- 1) The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) along with two sureties each for alike sum to the satisfaction of this Court.**
- 2) The petitioner and the sureties shall produce a copy of Adhar Card or Bank Passbook or Voter ID or Driving License and they shall affix their photographs and thumb impression in the bail bond, to ensure their identity to the satisfaction of this Court.
- 3) The petitioner shall not abscond and shall appear before the respondent whenever required and before this Court on all hearing

dates subject to application under section 355 of BNSS.

4) The petitioner shall not influence, threaten or coerce the witnesses either during the investigation or trial. The petitioner shall not commit an offense similar to the offense of which he is accused.

5) The petitioner shall appear and sign before this Court on every Tuesday at 10.00 AM for the period of 8 weeks.

This order dictated to the Steno Typist and directly typewritten by her and verified, corrected, and signed by me, and pronounced in open Court on this **16th day of June 2026.**

**Judicial Magistrate No.II(FAC),
Mettur.**