



***Present : Shri B. Kshetri, AJS
C.J.M., Kamrup (Metro)***

P.R. Case No. 3038/2025

02.07.2026

ORDER

Accused **Pranjit Medhi** is present with his engaged learned Counsel.

The informant is also present and he is examined as PW-1 and discharged on cross being declined by the defence.

Ld. Addl. P.P. has submitted that the evidence adduced by the informant/PW-1 does not support the prosecution case. Hence summoning the remaining witnesses would be a futile exercise and accordingly, the prosecution evidence may be closed. Considering the same, the prosecution evidence is closed.

The statement of the accused person under Section 351 of BNSS is dispensed with as no incriminating material was forthcoming. The defence declined to adduce any evidence.

I have heard the arguments advanced by both the sides.

In light of the evidence lead by the witness and the decision as arrived at and mentioned in the detailed judgment, it can be safely presumed that the prosecution has failed to drive home the guilt of the accused person. Hence, it is held that the prosecution has failed to

prove its case and the accused **Pranjit Medhi** is **acquitted** of all the charges U/S 281/125(b) of BNS, 2023 and set at liberty forthwith.

His bail bonds will remain in force for six months from today.

Seized articles, if any, are to be disposed of as per law.

Later on, Judgment is made ready and typed on separate sheets and pronounced in the open Court. It is appended to the case records.

The case is **disposed** of on contest.