

IN THE COURT OF THE ASSISTANT SESSIONS JUDGE
METTUR

Present: Thiru.R.Saravana Babu,B.A., L.L.B.,
Assistant Sessions Judge, Mettur

Wednesday, the 11th day of March 2026
CNR.No. TNSA16-000134-2026
C.M.P. No. 04 / 2026
in
S.C. No. 89 / 2009

Jeeva @ Ravi (55),
S/o. Ramasamy

... Petitioner / Accused A6

Versus

The State represented by Inspector of Police
Karumalaikoodal P.S.,

... Respondent / Complainant

This petition was taken on file on 26.02.2026 and coming up for final hearing on 09.03.2026 in the presence of Thiru. V.Sundaramoorthy, advocate appearing for petitioner and the Addl. Public Prosecutor for respondent / Complainant upon hearing the arguments of both sides and upon perusal of the records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court delivers the following

ORDER

1. This is a petition filed by the petitioner / accused A6 under section 437 of Cr.P.C for bail.
2. Heard both sides, perused the documents. The Petitioner/accused in the Karumalaikoodal P.S. Cr.No.152/2005 for the offence punishable under sections 341, 394 & 397. The nature of offence is non bailable.
3. The learned counsel appearing for petitioner has argued that the petitioner remanded on 17.07.2025 before this court and judicial custody for the past more than 7 months and he was ill well and suffered jaundice disease and took country treatment for long time resided at Trichy District and he is the only breadwinner of his big family and he is ready and willing to abide any condition. Therefore, the petitioner may be released on bail.
4. The learned Adl.P.P. has objected the bail application stating that the case in S.C. No. 89/2009 is pending against the petitioner for the offence punishable under sections

395, 397 IPC and the petitioner is the habitual offender and he is currently in Salem Central Jail after remanded on 17.07.2025 and petitioner was released on bail he would abscond and tamper witnesses and hence the prosecution strongly oppose to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the bail may be dismissed.

5. This court had carefully considered the rival submissions of both parties. On careful perusal of the records, it is found that the accused has been charged for the offences U/s.395, 397 IPC. It is further found that the accused has been arrested by the respondent police on 17.07.2025 through Non bailable warrant issued by this court and the petitioner has been in judicial custody for till date.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the period of incarceration of accused, this court is inclined to release the petitioner / accused on bail subject to conditions:

- (i) The petitioner shall execute a bail bond for Rs. 10,000/- on his own along with two sureties each for the like sum with proper solvency certificate to the satisfaction of this court and both sureties should affix their photo and left thumb impression in the surety bond.
- (ii) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. for 15 days from the date of release.
- (iii) The petitioner shall not abscond during trial.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit any offence, similar to the offence of which he is accused or suspected.
- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case.

Dictated by me to Steno-typist and directly typed by her in computer and corrected by me and pronounced by me in open court on 11.03.2026

**Assistant Sessions Judge,
Mettur.**