

10 EX 38/25

MANOJ MAHTO VS. ANIL KUMAR DUBEY

19.08.2025

Present: Sh. Santosh Kumar Sharma, Id. Counsel for DH with DH.
Sh. Naveen Kumar Verma, Court Bailiff is present.

Statement of court bailiff Sh. Naveen Kumar Verma recorded. He is discharged. The bailiff has stated that the warrants of attachment of movable goods could not be executed as the wife of the JD did not allow the bailiff to enter the premises.

Counsel for DH has moved an application on behalf of the DH for police assistance alongwith lady police as well as for breaking open of locks of JD premises.

In the present case, the plaintiff is seeking execution of decree dated 30.09.2024.

Arguments heard on the above application. Record perused.

For reasons stated in the application and in view of the statement of the bailiff recorded today, instant application stands disposed off as **allowed**.

Issue warrants of attachment of movable goods against the JD, on filing of PF, returnable for NDOH.

List before the Ld. ACJ for appointment of bailiff on **29.08.2025** and before this court on **28.10.2025**. Bailiff is directed that he may break locks of the property of the JD, if required. Concerned SHO is also directed to provide necessary police aid including lady police.

(Mohammad Ehtesham)
DJ – 03, Shahdara District,
Karkardooma Courts, Delhi
19.08.2025