In the Court of Sessions Judge, Shamli .

Presiding Officer- Inder Preet Singh Josh, (Higher Judicial Service)

(J.O. Code- UP1894)

Bail Application No.**1105/2026**

Mugheera s/o Anwar, Residence- Hazrat Ji ke Paas Mohalla Sheikzaadgaan, Kasba & Police Station Kandhla, Dist. Shamli ……..Accused/Applicant.

Versus

State of U.P. ....Opposite Party.

Case Crime No.08/2026
U/s 318(4) BNS &66 D IT Act
P.S. Cybercrime Shamli, **District** Shamli.

Present **Shri Arshad Khan, Advocate-** Counsel for Applicant
Present **Shri Sanjay Chauhan, D.G.C.(Criminal)-** State of U.P.

Dated:28.07.2026

The bail application is listed for orders today.

This bail application of accused **Mugheera** has been filed by Mohd. Haarish s/o Anwar, who is the Pairokar of the applicant. He has submitted that no other bail application is currently pending before Sessions Court or the Hon'ble High Court

In brief, the case of the prosecution is that in March 2026, complainant’s vehicle was hired by Mugheera (son of Anwar), who drove it several times to Delhi and other places. On the first occasion he paid the complainant the hire charges in cash. Afterwards he asked for his Google Pay QR code and said he would transfer the payments to that QR code. The complainant sent his QR code to his mobile number 7060619358 via WhatsApp. Thereafter, Mugheera deposited amounts into his account that exceeded the agreed hire charges. Each time, the complainant withdrew the excess cash from the ATM and handed it over to Mugheera. Between 20 March 2026 and 6 April 2026, Mugheera transferred a total of ₹67,000 to his QR code in multiple transactions, over and above the hire charges. The complainant learned that Mugheera also arranged transfers into the accounts of two other persons from my neighborhood and asked them to withdraw the funds and hand them to him. Those persons are Qasim, son of Rizwan (fruit seller), account no. 3719001700155430, Punjab National Bank, Kandhla. Mugheera told Qasim that some funds would be credited to his account on 25 February 2026 and asked Qasim to withdraw and give the cash to him. Approximately over ₹3,00,000 were credited into Qasim’s account and were handed to Mugheera in cash. Naseem, son of Haroon (runs a ladies’ dupatta shop), account no. 2053211060529, UCO Bank, Kandhla. Around ₹1,00,000 or more were credited to Naseem’s account and were handed over to Mugheera. Subsequently, the banks closed the three accounts. On inquiry at the banks, they were informed that the funds credited to those accounts are subject to complaints of cyber fraud. The complainant asked Mugheera to meet him and contacted him on his numbers 7060619358 and 9634793009. When the complainant raised this matter with him, he said, “Do not worry, I will get your account reopened.” The complainant inquiries inquiries indicate that Mugheera is engaged in cyber fraud. He uses false names and his mobile numbers to deceive people, deposits fraudulent money into victims’ accounts and orchestrates these scams.

Consequently, a case was registered at Police Station Cyber Crime Shamli against the aforementioned accused person under Section 318(4) B.N.S & 66 D IT Act.

INDERPREET JOSH
Digitally signed by INDERPREET JOSH
Date: 2026.08.01 08:20:04 +01'00'

The learned Counsel for the applicant/accused has submitted that the applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case. The applicant/accused had no motive to commit the alleged offence. The applicant/accused has not committed any fraud or obtained money by cheating. There is no independent witness to the alleged incident. All allegations and the recovery from the applicant/accused are false and fabricated.The applicant/accused has no prior criminal convictions. The applicant/accused has been languishing in District Jail, since 22.06.2026

The Learned DGC has opposed the bail application and stated that applicant/accused is involved in the present case. He has further stated that if, the applicant/accused is granted bail, there is every likelihood of the applicant/accused tampering with the evidence and winning over the eye witnesses of the present case.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records of the case.

From a perusal of the documents on record, it appears that the applicant/accused is alleged to have engaged in cyber-fraud by deceiving persons and depositing fraudulent funds into the accounts of the complainant and other victims. During the investigation, the complainant, Shahnawaz and other witnesses Qasim, Naseem and Kaif have corroborated the allegations made in the First Information Report and stated that the applicant/accused orchestrated large-value deposits into third-party accounts to launder or channel fraudulently obtained funds. The investigation further revealed that the accused carried out multiple transactions over a sustained period involving sizeable sums and affecting more than one victim. The accused allegedly used multiple mobile numbers and false names to perpetrate the scheme, which is indicative of organised and premeditated conduct intended to evade detection. Screenshots of an alleged transaction into the complainant's account from one Mohd. Rafee, who is stated to be a victim of cyber-fraud committed by the accused/accused, have also been annexed to the case diary. The investigation is still ongoing, and the possibility that the accused may tamper with evidence or influence witnesses cannot be ruled out at this stage. In addition, the prosecution has also placed on record a criminal antecedent of the applicant/accused in another case under Section 420,406, 504,506,509 IPC. Prima facie, a definite role has been attributed to the applicant/accused, and the matter appears to be a serious case of cyber-fraud with potential implications for the commercial system and tax authorities.

That, considering the facts and circumstances of this case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of this case, this court does not find sufficient grounds to grant bail to the applicant/accused. All the discussions made herein above are for the purpose of disposal of this bail application and shall have no bearing on the merits of this case.

In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, no case is made out for grant of bail, hence the bail application of applicant/accused is hereby liable to be rejected.

ORDER

Bail Application of accused/applicant **Mugheera** is, hereby, dismissed.

Dated: 28.07.2026

(Inder Preet Singh Josh),
Sessions Judge,
Shamli.