

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT MUNSI OF, SANKARI.

PRESENT: **Selvi. N. Thilageswari, B.Sc., L.L.M.,**
District Munsif, Sankari.

Monday, the 03rd day of July- 2017.

O.S. No.44/2010

...

1. A Perumal,
2. P. Saravanan.

... Plaintiffs

// Versus //

1. The Panchayat President, Pullagoundampatty Agraharam,
2. The Block Development Officer, Sankari,
3. The Thasildar,
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Sankari,
5. The District Collector, Salem.

... Defendant

This suit coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Tvl. R.Kulandaivelu & K. Ganesan, Advocates for the Plaintiffs and of Thiru. R. Arulprakash, advocate for the Defendants and no representation for the Plaintiffs, sufficient time is given, Trial not Commenced, Plaintiffs not present and this Court delivered the following:-

JUDGMENT

Suit for Permanent Injunction and Mandatory injunction; declare the classification of S.No.139/3 of Pullagaoundampatty agraharam Village, Sankari Taluk as Koil Propoke is null and void and ** and grant Permanent injunction not to pave tar in the road running in S.No.139/3 by the Defendants 1 and 2 and grant mandatory injunction to remove the road laid in the S.No.139/3 by encroaching the same, by the defendants 1 and 2 and grant Permanent Injunction not to remove the Pathirakali amman Temple in S.No.139/3 by the Defendants and their men and agent and grant Permanent injunction not to construct any government building in S.No.139/3 by the Defendants and their men and agent and awarding for costs of the suit.

No representation for Plaintiffs. Sufficient time given. Trial not Commenced.
Plaintiff not present. Therefore this suit is dismissed for default. No cost.

Pronounced by me in the court, this the 03rd day of July, 2017.

**(Sd)/- xxx N. Thilageswari,
DISTRICT MUNSIF,
SANKARI.**