

**IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT MUNSIF OF SANKARI.**

PRESENT: **Selvi. N. Thilageswari, B.Sc., L.L.M.,**  
District Munsif, Sankari.

Tuesday, the 21st day of June 2016.

**O.S. No.44/2010**

...

1. A Perumal,
2. P. Saravanan.

... Plaintiffs

// Versus //

1. The Panchayat President, Pullagoundampatty Agraharam,
2. The Block Development Officer, Sankari,
3. The Thasildar,
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Sankari,
5. The District Collector, Salem.

... Defendants

This suit coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Tvl. R.Kulandaivelu & K. Ganeshan, Advocates for the Plaintiffs and of Thiru. R. Arulprakash, advocate for the Defendants and both sides no representation, Plaintiffs not present, sufficient time is given, Trial not Commenced and this Court delivered the following:-

**JUDGMENT**

Suit for Permanent Injunction and Mandatory injunction; declare the classification of S.No.139/3 of Pullagaoundampatty agraharam Village, Sankari Taluk as Koil Propoke is null and void and \*\* and grant Permanent injunction not to pave tar in the road running in S.No.139/3 by the Defendants 1 and 2 and grant mandatory injunction to remove the road laid in the S.No.139/3 by encroaching the same, by the defendants 1 and 2 and grant Permanent Injunction not to remove the Pathirakali amman Temple in S.No.139/3 by the Defendants and their men and agent and grant Permanent injunction not to construct any government building in S.No.139/3 by the Defendants and their men and agent and awarding for costs of the suit.

-2-

Both sides no representation. Plaintiff not present. Sufficient time given.  
Trial not Commenced. Therefore this suit is dismissed for default. No cost.

Pronounced by me in the court, this the 21st day of June, 2016.

Sd/-xxxx  
(N. THILAGESWARI)  
DISTRICT MUNSIF,  
SANKARI.