



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'  
mobile application download from Play Store

1

*Crl.M.P.No.1351 & 1352 of 2026*  
*Magudanchavadi Police Station*  
*Crime No : 131 of 2026*

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SALEM.

**Present : Thiru. Swarnam J. Natarajan, M.L.,**

Principal Sessions Judge, Salem.

Tuesday, this the 09<sup>th</sup> day of June - 2026

**Crl.M.P.No.1351/2026**

**CNR.No.TNSA01-002860-2026**

**and**

**Crl.M.P.No.1352/2026**

**CNR.No.TNSA01-002859-2026**

**Crl.M.P.No.1351/2026:**

Sakthivel (26), S/o Annamalai

... Petitioner/Accused No.4

Vs.

The State rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Magudanchavadi Police Station,  
(Cr.No.131/2026)

... Respondent / Complainant

**Crl.M.P.No.1352/2026:**

Vasanthakumar (25), S/o Muthusamy

... Petitioner/Accused No.3.

Vs.

The State rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Magudanchavadi Police Station,  
(Cr.No.131/2026)

... Respondent / Complainant

These petitions coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.S.Ramesh Sankar, Advocate for the petitioner in both the

09.06.2026

Principal Sessions Judge, Salem.



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'  
mobile application download from Play Store

2

*Crl.M.P.No.1351 & 1352 of 2026*  
*Magudanchavadi Police Station*  
*Crime No : 131 of 2026*

petitions and Thiru. P.Thambidurai, Public Prosecutor for the State, after hearing both sides and perusing the records, this Court delivers the following ...

### **COMMON ORDER**

1. The petitioners in both the petitions, who are in judicial custody for the offence punishable under Section(s) 109(1) of BNS in Crime No. 131 of 2026 on the file of the Magudanchavadi Police Station since 12.05.2026, seeks their release on bail under Section 483 B.N.S.S.

2. According to the petitioners, they are innocent and have not committed any offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. The case of the prosecution as elicited in the petition in brief, is that one Subrmanai is the neighbour of the defacto complainant and a week ago, Subramani, brought one Brinda, who was already married to Siva (A-2) and in this regard dispute arose between Subramani and A-2. Further it is alleged by the prosecution that on 12.05.2026 at about 02.30 a.m., when the defacto complainant and his family members were sleeping in front of Subramani's house, the petitioners and other accused came there and the accused Kumar assaulted the defacto complainant with aruval and attempted to kill him and then all the accused left from there. The injured was discharged from the hospital. The petitioners have given undertaking that they would never abscond nor tamper or hamper the witnesses

09.06.2026

Principal Sessions Judge, Salem.



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'  
mobile application download from Play Store

and ready to abide by any condition imposed by the Court and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate. The petitioners are in judicial custody for the past 29 days. Hence, the petitioners pray for considering their bail applications.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the bail applications contending that there is dispute between one Subramani, who is the neighbour of the defacto complainant and the accused and on the alleged date of occurrence, when the defacto complainant was sleeping in front of Subramani's house, the accused came there and thought that Subramani is sleeping and assaulted the defacto complainant with aruval and attempted to kill him and the investigation is pending and that if the petitioners are released on bail, there is likelihood of absconding, tampering with witnesses.

4. This Court has considered the rival submissions and perused the materials available on record.

5. There is no specific overt act as against the petitioners who are arrayed as A-3 and A-4 and specific overt act has been attributed only against A-1 in the FIR. Considering the fact that the petitioners are in judicial custody for the past



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'  
mobile application download from Play Store

29 days, this Court is inclined to consider the bail application of the petitioners with the following conditions:

**In the result, both the applications are allowed** and the petitioners in both the petitions are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond each for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sankari.

(i) The petitioners in both the petitions shall **appear and sign before the Attur Town police station daily at 10.00 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., for 30 days** and thereafter on summons.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impressions and produce valid identity proof such as Aadhaar Card or bank passbook, and the petitioners shall also furnish their photograph and identity documents. The petitioners shall provide their active mobile number and email address, if any, along with an alternative contact number of an adult family member, which shall be treated as **official contact details for service of summons and communications, and the same shall not be altered without prior permission of the Court;** any such change without intimation shall entail presumption of due service and may be treated as indicative of absconding.



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'  
mobile application download from Play Store

5

*Crl.M.P.No.1351 & 1352 of 2026*  
*Magudanchavadi Police Station*  
*Crime No : 131 of 2026*

(iii) The petitioners shall co operate the investigation as an when required and shall not directly or indirectly induce, threaten, or promise any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the police. The petitioners shall not leave the State of Tamil Nadu without prior permission of the learned Judicial Magistrate and shall not commit any offence of similar nature.

(iv) The petitioners shall cooperate for early committal / further proceedings and disposal of the case. The undertaking of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners will not delay the proceedings by seeking unnecessary adjournments or by deferring cross-examination is recorded, and in case of violation, the trial court / concerned court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail and remand the petitioners to custody if it appears that the delay is deliberate on the part of the petitioner as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State* (AIR 2005 SCW 5506).



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'  
mobile application download from Play Store

6

*Crl.M.P.No.1351 & 1352 of 2026*  
*Magudanchavadi Police Station*  
*Crime No : 131 of 2026*

(v) In the event, if the petitioners absconds, a fresh F.I.R shall be registered u/s.269 of BNS.

Typed by Steno-Typist, corrected and signed on 09<sup>th</sup> day of June – 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,  
Salem.**

**Copy to :-**

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sankari.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Salem.
3. The Inspector of Police, Magudanchavadi Police Station.
4. The Inspector of Police, Attur Town Police Station.
4. Counsel for the petitioners.