



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'
mobile application download from Play Store

1

Crl.M.P.No.1356 of 2026
DCB Salem.
Crime No : 05 of 2022

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SALEM.

Present : Thiru. Swarnam J. Natarajan, M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Salem.

Wednesday, this the 10th day of June - 2026

Crl.M.P.1356/2026
CNR.No.TNSA01-002857-2026

Prabhu @ Prabhakaran (35),
S/o Arivalagan

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
DCB Salem.
(Cr.No.05/2022)

... Respondent/Complainant

The petition coming on this day for final hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.M.Kesavan, Advocate for the petitioner and Thiru.P.Thambidurai, Public Prosecutor for the State, after hearing both sides and perusing the records, this court delivers the following ...

ORDER

1. The petitioner is apprehending arrest for the offence punishable under Section(s) 120-B, 420, 468 and 471 of IPC and had filed this petition u/s.482 of B.N.S.S., seeking for his release on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest.

2. According to the petitioner, he has not committed any offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. The allegations levelled against the accused is that the accused cheated the defacto complainant to the tune of Rs.20 Lakhs

10.06.2026

Principal Sessions Judge, Salem



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'
mobile application download from Play Store

on the pretext of arranging a job to his son. The petitioner has given undertaking that he would never abscond nor tamper or hamper the witnesses and ready to abide by any conditions imposed by the Court and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate. Hence, the petitioner pray for considering his anticipatory bail application.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the anticipatory bail application contending that the amount involved in the case is huge and this petitioner has received one lakh and the investigation is pending and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, there is likelihood of absconding, tampering with witnesses.

4. This Court has considered the rival submissions and perused the materials available on record.

5. According to the petitioner, the occurrence took place in 2019 to 2020 and as per the averments made, the petitioner who is A-4, had received Rs.1 Lakh from the main accused persons. Considering the above circumstances, this Court is inclined to consider the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner with the following conditions.



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'
mobile application download from Play Store

In the result, this anticipatory bail application is allowed and the petitioner is directed to surrender before the Jurisdiction Magistrate No.VI, Salem within 15 days from the date of receipt of the order or in the event of his arrest and production before the Judicial Magistrate concerned, he is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on his executing bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for like sum to the satisfaction of the Jurisdiction Magistrate No.VI, Salem.

(i) The petitioner shall **appear and report before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., and 5.30 p.m., for 30 days** and thereafter, shall appear on summons. In the event that the petitioner fail to surrender within 15 days from the date of the order, the anticipatory bail granted will automatically lapse.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impressions and produce valid identity proof such as Aadhaar Card or bank passbook, and the petitioner shall also furnish his photograph and identity documents. The petitioner shall provide his active mobile number and email address, if any, along with an alternative contact number of an adult family member, which shall be treated as **official contact details for service of summons and communications, and the same shall not be altered without prior permission**



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'
mobile application download from Play Store

of the Court; any such change without intimation shall entail presumption of due service and may be treated as indicative of absconding.

(iii) The petitioner shall co operate the investigation as an when required and shall not directly or indirectly induce, threaten, or promise any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the police. The petitioner shall not leave the State of Tamil Nadu without prior permission of the learned Judicial Magistrate and shall not commit any offence of similar nature.

(iv) The petitioner shall co operate for early committal / further proceedings and disposal of the case. The undertaking of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioners will not delay the proceedings by seeking unnecessary adjournments or by deferring cross-examination is recorded, and in case of violation, the trial court / concerned court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail and remand the petitioners to custody if it appears that the delay is deliberate on the part of the petitioner as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State* (AIR 2005 SCW 5506).



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'
mobile application download from Play Store

5

Crl.M.P.No.1356 of 2026
DCB Salem.
Crime No : 05 of 2022

(v) In the event, if the petitioner absconds, a fresh F.I.R shall be registered u/s.269 of BNS.

Typed by Steno-Typist, corrected and signed on 10th day of June – 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Salem.**

Copy to :-

1. The Jurisdiction Magistrate No.VI, Salem.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Salem..
3. The Inspector of Police, DCB Salem.
4. Counsel for the petitioner.

10.06.2026

Principal Sessions Judge, Salem