

IN THE COURT OF THE III ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE OF SALEM.

Present: Thiru. P.Elango, B.Sc.,M.L.,  
III Additional District Judge,  
Salem.

Wednesday , this the 13th day of April -2022.

O.S.180/2019

- 1)S.Kavitha
- 2) M.Geetha
- 3) K.Jeyasudha

..Plaintiffs

/ versus /

- 1)Velayee
- 2) Murugesan
- 3) Manjubhashini
- 4) Praneshkumar
- 5)S.C.P. Ilangovan
- 6) E.Vishnupriya
- 7) I.Rakshana
- 8) I.Arulpalaniappan,  
a minor represented by his  
guardian father 5th defendant  
S.C.P. Ilangovan
- 9) Karthik
- 10) K.Sahana,  
a minor represented by his  
guardian father 9th defendant Karthik
- 11) K.Suriya,  
a minor represented by his  
guardian father 9th defendant Karthik
- 12) Rasi gounder
- 13) S.R. Jayavel
- 14) J.A. Jayaraksana
- 15) J.Shreenidhi, a minor represented  
by her guardian mother Shanthi
- 16)Tamilselvi @ A.G.Selvi

..Defendants

This suit is coming up for hearing before me on 21-03-2022 in the presence of Thiru. K.Arthanari Advocate for the plaintiffs, and Thiru.R.Perumal Advocate for Defendants, and upon perusing the case records and this court delivered the following :

**JUDGMENT**

**Suit for Partition ,Declaration(3) or Permanent Injunction** : Plaintiff filed by the plaint Under Order 7 Rules 1 to 6 of C.P.C. a decree of declaration

Q


.2.

declaring the impugned final decree dated 21.12.2000 on the file of Sub Judge Court, Sankari registered in document No.429/2001 on the file of Sub-Registry Sankari as null and void not binding the plaintiffs; a decree of declaration declaring the impugned partition deed entered into between the defendants 13 to 15 dated 24.12.2010 registered in document No.4344/2010 on the file of Sub.Registry, Sankari as null and void not binding the plaintiff; a decree of declaration declaring the impugned partition deed entered into between the defendants 1,2,5 and 9 dated 6.9.2010 registered in document No.2727/2010 on the file of Sub Registry, Sankari as null and void not binding the plaintiffs; a preliminary decree for partition of suit properties into 80 equal shares considering the good and bad soil, cart track, sewage and easementary rights and allot 15 such shares to the plaintiffs in the suit properties fixing a time for amicable partition between the parties within the time stipulated by this court and in case of failure of the same between the parties making provision for the parties to apply for a final decree to divide the suit properties through the process of this court; direct the defendants to put the plaintiffs in separate possession of such share allotted to the plaintiff in the suit property in the final decree; a decree of permanent injunction interdicting and restraining the defendants 1,4,5,9,12 and 13 from in any way interfering with the plaintiffs peaceful possession and enjoyment of the suit properties; and award cost of this suit.

No representation for Plaintiff side . Suit is posted in the list. Plaintiffs also called absent. Hence this Suit is dismissed for default with cost.

Pronounced by me in open Court, this the 13th day of April -2022.

  
III Additional District Judge,  
Salem.

  
Fair/Draft in  
O.S.180/2019  
III ADJ Court, Salem.  
Dated: 13-04-2022.