

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
SALEM.**

Present : Tmt.S.Sumathy, M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Salem.

Wednesday, this the 01st day of April, 2026.

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION No. 799/2026
(CNR.No.TNSA010016362026)

- 1) Paranjothi @ Kuttiyan (25),
S/o. Palanisamy
- 2) Sivapriyan (49), S/o. Paranjothi
- 3) Sivakumar (41), S/o. Paranjothi
- 4) Pranavu (21),
S/o. Sivapriyan

...Petitioners/Accuse No.1 to 4

/Versus/

State by : The Inspector of Police,
Sooramangalam P.S.,
Cr.No. Unknown /2026

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition filed on 25.03.2026 under section 482 of BNSS., to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Tr.P.Natarajan, Counsel for the petitioners and the Public Prosecutor for the respondent and after perusing the averments, this Court delivered the following:

ORDER

Heard both side and perused the records.

2) This petition is filed by Counsel for petitioners/accused u/s.482 of BNSS., seeking anticipatory bail. The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent/police for the alleged offence punishable u/s.191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(1) and 351(2) of BNS, have filed this petition seeking to

release them on anticipatory bail.

3) During enquiry the learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence, they have been falsely implicated in this case; that the respondent police has trying to register a case against the petitioners, the petitioners are apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences u/s.191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(1) and 351(2) of BNS, and prayed to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4) On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor has submitted that the defacto complainant namely Dineshkumar has given a complaint before the respondent police and based on the said complaint C.S.R.No.146/2026 is registered against the petitioners and pending; the petitioners were called for enquiry, and has now filed this petition seeking anticipatory bail and hence he prayed for dismissal of the petition.

5) Records perused. It appears that the defacto complainant gave a complaint against the petitioners before the respondent/police. The learned Public Prosecutor stated that based on the said complaint C.S.R.No.146/2026 is pending against the petitioners on the file of the respondent police, and the petitioners were called for enquiry. Considering the facts and circumstances and both side arguments, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6) In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 01st day of April, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Salem.