

IN THE COURT OF THE I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE OF SALEM.  
**PRESENT: Thiru. R.Velaras, B.Sc., B.L., L.L.M.,**  
I Additional District and Sessions Judge, Salem,  
Thursday, the 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

**C.M.P. No.637/2026**

**in**

**C.C.No.2/2022**

Gouthaman (35),  
S/o. Ammasi

....Petitioner/ Accused-A2

/ Versus /

State represented by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Dheevattipatty P.S.  
Cr.No. 171/2017

....Respondent / Complainant

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Tr.V. Velvendan Advocate for the Petitioner/Accused and Thiru.T.Durairaj, Additional Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and after hearing both side and upon perusal of the case records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court delivered the following:

### **ORDER**

This petition filed by the petitioner/Accused u/s.483 of BNSS to enlarge him on bail pertaining to crime No 171/2017 on the file of Dheevattipatty P.S, Admittedly, this criminal case is registered by the respondent police in Cr.No.171/2017 on 06.04.2017 against the petitioner/Accused for the offence punishable u/s. 294(b), 324, 427, 506(ii) of IPC. After filing final report the case was taken on file as C.C.No.2/2022. This petitioner/Accused was already released on bail for the said offence. When the case was called on 18.04.2022 this petitioner/accused was not present and he was not represented by his counsel.

Therefore, this court issued a non bailable warrant against the accused for cause production of the accused. The counsel for petitioner argued that, the non-appearance of the petitioner/accused before this court was not an intentional or willful. During that time, the petitioner's own brother was died hence the petitioner

could not able to inform his counsel for filing necessary petition.

On perusal of records and by considering the arguments of the both side it shows that the petitioner/Accused was remanded to judicial custody only on the execution of nonailable warrant on 07.02.2026. Since the bond executed by the petitioner/Accused was forfeited by this court the petitioner/ accused need to be produce sureties once again for enlargement of bail. Therefore, the objection raised by the Additional Public Prosecutor is not sufficient to dismiss the petition. Since, this petition is filed by the petitioner/Accused for jumped bail and he was in judicial custody from 07.02.2026. I am of view that the petitioner/ Accused may be released on bail with the following conditions;

1. that the petitioner/Accused shall execute a bond for Rs.10000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) along with two sureties for a like sum each to the Satisfaction of this court.

2. that the petitioner/Accused shall appear and sign before the Respondent/Police daily at 10.00 a.m. for the period of 60 days except Saturday and Sunday.

3. that the petitioner/Accused shall appear before this court for the hearings without fail.

In the result, the petition is allowed.

Order Pronounced by me in the open Court, this the 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

I Additional District and Sessions Judge,  
Salem.

**Copy to:**

The Superintendent, Central Prison, Salem.