

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
SALEM**

Present : Tmt.S.Sumathy, M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Salem.

Tuesday, this the 10th day of March, 2026.

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION No.584/2026
(CNR.No.TNSA010012162026)

- 1) Madhesh @ Madheswaran (57),
S/o. Kanthannadar
2) Mayilsamy (51),S/o. Sithan
3) Mathi (53), S/o. Ramasamy ...Petitioners/Accused No.6, 10 & 12
/Versus/

State by : The Sub Inspector of Police,
Magudanchavadi P.S.,
Cr.No.464/2011 ...Respondent/Complainant

This petition filed on 05.03.2026 under section 482 of BNSS., to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Tr.S.Kumaresan, Counsel for the petitioners and the Public Prosecutor for the respondent, after perusing the averments, this Court passed the following :

ORDER

Heard both side and perused the records.

2) This petition is filed by Counsel for petitioners/accused u/s.482 of BNSS., seeking anticipatory bail. The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent/police for the alleged offences punishable u/s.147,

148, 294(b), 354, 323, 324 and 307 of IPC, have filed this petition seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

3) Prosecution case is that on 17.06.2011 at about 06.30 p.m., at 15.30 hours at Ernapuram, Kottaimedu, the petitioners and other accused abused the defacto complainant and her sister Devika in filthy words; the co-accused A-1 and A-2 pulled them down, assaulted them with hands and legs and torn their dress; when the Devika's husband intervened, the 1st petitioner/A-6 abused him in filthy words; the 1st petitioner/A-6 and co-accused A-1, A3, A-4 and A-5 assaulted him with iron rod; the other accused assaulted him with stick and hands and caused injuries to them. Hence, the case.

4) The learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the case is pertaining to the year 2011; absconding charge sheet was filed in the year 2025, and the case was taken on file as P.R.C.No.24/2025; summons were served on the petitioners; then only the petitioners came to know about the pendency of the case against them, hence they have been come forward with this petition seeking anticipatory bail; the petitioners are ready to abide any condition imposed by this Court and hence he prays to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

5) On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor has reiterated the

facts of the case and submitted that the occurrence had taken place in the year 2011; final report was filed and taken on file as P.R.C.No.24/2025, and summons were served on the petitioners on 16.11.2025 and the petitioners are appearing before the lower Court from 17.11.2025.

6) Records perused. It is revealed from the submissions made by both sides that the final report has been filed, and the case has been taken on file as P.R.C.No.24/2025. On service of summons, the petitioners have been regularly attending Court for the hearings of the P.R.C. In the stated circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7) In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 10th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Salem.