

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF AT ARAKKONAM

PRESENT: THIRUMATHI. G.T.AMBIKA, B.A.B.L.,
DISTRICT MUNSIF, ARAKKONAM.

Thursday the 14th day of July 2011

O.S. No.43/2009

Jothi Rathinammal

- Plaintiff

-Vs-

- 1) Nagarathinammal
- 2) Jeevarathinammal (died)
- 3) P.Kamalakaran,
- 4) Valli
- 5) Mohan
- 6) Yasodha
- 7) Vijayalakshmi
- 8) Veeraraghavan

(Amended as per order in IA.675/2010, dt.22.10.2010.)

WEB COPY - Defendants

This suit coming on before me in the presence of Thiru.R.Saravanan, Counsel for the plaintiff and Thiru.E.Venkatesan, Counsel for the defendants 3 and 4 and Thiru. P.Haroon rusheed Counsel for the 5,6, and 7th defendant and for defendants called absent set exparte and having stood over for consideration this court delivered the following:-

JUDGMENT

This is a Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants 3 and 4 from entering in to possession of any of the suit properties except by the process of law and for costs.

2) Heard, perused, the contention of the plaintiff is that the plaintiff is in possession and enjoyment of suit properties and further in respect of suit property the plaintiff has filed a suit in O.S.103/95 and the same is pending before is court. But the defendants three and four are interfering with possession of plaintiff by entering into the suit properties. The defendants three and four have remained exparte and has not choosen to contest the case and thereby the contention of plaintiff has remained in disputed. This court from contention of plaintiff and from the case records finds that the plaintiff has proved the claim.

3) In the result this suit is decreed as prayed for there is no order as to costs.

Pronounced by me in the open court this the day of 14th July 2011

District Munsif,
Arakkonam.

PLAINTIFF SIDE EXHIBITS:-

Ex.A1 - 30.03.1995 - Plaint Copy in O.S.103/1995

District Munsif,
Arakkonam.

WEB COPY