

IN THE COURT OF THE SPECIAL SUB JUDGE FOR FOR
L.A.O.P. CASES, ARAKKONAM, RANIPET DISTRICT.

PRESENT : **THIRU. G. YUVARAJ, M.A., M.L.,**
SPECIAL SUBORDINATE JUDGE,
SPECIAL SUB COURT FOR L.A.O.P. CASES,
ARAKKONAM.

Monday, the 28th day of April 2025

OS. No.22/2019

(CNR. No. TN-RP-07-000-134-2019)

(Sub Court, Ranipet . O.S. No. 93/2010)

(Sub Court, Arakkonam O.S. No. 667/2014)

T. Kannan

.. Plaintiff

Vs.

1. Shantha Kumar
2. Sampath Kumar
3. Perumal
4. Velu (Died)
5. Ashokan
6. The Sub Registrar,
Sholinghur, Ranipet District
7. Kannammal (Died)
8. Natarajan
9. Nehru
10. Raju
11. Jaya
12. Dinakaran

.. 2 ...

: 2 :

13. Sudhakar

14. Amul

15. Mumtha

16. Emimal

.. Defendants

(Amended as per order in I.A. No. 44/2022, dt. 20.10.2022)

This suit coming today before me in the presence of Thiru. L. Manoharan, Advocate for Plaintiff, and D1, D2, D5, D8, D9, and D10 called absent and set Ex-parte, D3, D4, D7 reported dead, Additional Government Pleader for 6th Defendant, and Thiru. Y.C. Raghuramaraju, Advocate for the Defendants 11 to 16 and upon perusing the records and having stood over for consideration, this court delivered the following :

JUDGMENT

Suit for declaration declaring that the plaintiff is the original owner of the suit schedule property pursuant to the Document No. 43/2000 on the file of 6th defendant and he is in absolute possession and enjoyment of the same and for a permanent injunction restraining the 3rd and 4th defendants their men, agent, servants or anyone acting on their behalf from in any manner interfering with the plaintiff's peaceful possession and enjoyment of the suit schedule of property and for costs.

PW1 present. Counsel for the plaintiff not present till 11.50 AM. Counsel for D11 to D16 ready to cross examine the witness. But, counsel for plaintiff is not present. Each and every hearing counsel for plaintiff is not appearing, but plaintiff alone appearing. Due to the absence of the counsel for plaintiff, this court is unable to further proceed with the case. Plaintiff also not willing to

.. 3 ...

: 3 :

engage another counsel. Therefore, this court has no any other option except to dismiss the suit. Accordingly, suit is dismissed for default and non appearance of counsel for plaintiff. No cost.

This judgment is dictated to the Steno-Typist, typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this 28th day of April 2025.

(Sd/- G. Yuvaraj)
Special Subordinate Judge,
Special Sub Court for L.A.O.P. Cases,
Arakkonam.

S.S.C. Arakkonam.

O.S. No. 22/2019

Draft / Fair Judgment

Date : 28.04.2025