IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENNAI

Present: Dr.R. Sathya, M.L., PhD

I Additional Sessions Judge

(FAC) Principal Sessions Court.

Tuesday, the 11th day of August, 2026

Crl.M.P.No. 7623/2026

(CNR.No. TNCH010188942026)

in

SCCIC P.S., Crime No. 96/2025

Iethriz Chainullafadeen .. Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
State Cyber Crime Investigation Centre,
Cyber Crime Wing, Hqrs, Chennai. ..Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming on this day before this court for hearing in the presence of M/s.E.Shanthakumar, T.Yuvaresh, V.Gopi Krishna, the Counsel for the petitioner and of the CPP for respondent police and upon hearing both sides, this Court delivered the following,

ORDER

1. The petitioner, who was arrested on 09.08.2026 for the offences punishable under Section 111, 61(2), 316(2), 318(4) of BNS and sec. 66C, 66D of IT.Act, in Crime No. 96/2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offences as alleged. The petitioner's bank account was misused by fraudsters. The petitioner has given his bank account for salary purpose and has no role in the alleged fraud and he is not the beneficiary of the crime proceeds. The petitioner is in custody from 09.08.2026. Hence, prays for granting bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned CPP submits that it is a case of online fraud. The defacto complainant contacted through a whatsapp number by a person and she had induced him to invest money in stocks with promises of high returns. Believing the representations, the defacto complainant transferred money to various bank accounts to the tune of Rs.1,44,68,000/-. However, they had cheated him by not repaying the money. Upon realizing the fraud, the defacto complainant lodged the complaint. The petitioner

herein lent his bank account and handed over his passbook, ATM Card and the Sim Card to one Salman in exchange for an assured commission, for which the petitioner has received a sum of Rs.10,000/-. Investigation is pending. The cheated amount is not yet recovered. The other persons who are all involved in the offence are yet to be unearthed. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, there is every possibility of his abscondence and would hamper the investigation. Hence, he objects granting bail.

4. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in collusion with other co-accused, defrauded the defacto complainant to the tune of Rs.1,44,68,000/-. The specific role of the petitioner is that he has lent his bank account and the details to the Cyber fraudsters for assured commission. From the submission of learned CPP, it is found that the petitioner has received a sum of Rs.10,000/- as commission. The Investigation is still pending. If the petitioner is released on bail, he may commit further offences and alert the other accused and also destroy the electronic evidences. Considering the nature of offence and the fact that investigation is pending and the strong objection raised by lerned CPP, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Hence, the petition is dismissed.

Dictated to Steno-typist, typed by him directly, corrected and pronounced by me in open court this the 11th day of August, 2026.

R SATHYA

Digitally signed by R SATHYA
Date: 2026.08.11 18:08:53 +0530

I Additional Sessions Judge
(FAC) Principal Sessions Judge

GB