

**31 CS DJ 1964/16 BANK OF BARODA Vs. SANJAY GULATI AND
ORS**

31.01.2026.

**Present: Mr. Ritul Jain, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff alongwith Ms. Shweta Maheshwari, Proposed AR in person.
None for defendants.**

At the outset, an application for substitution of Authorized Representation (AR) is moved.

Heard.

It is stated that AR is required to be substituted as previous AR namely Tarun Singh has been transferred to some other branch. Copy of Power of Attorney as executed in favour of Ms. Shweta Maheshwari i.e. Proposed AR is also placed on record. **Accordingly, application is allowed and now Ms. Shweta Maheshwari is hereby substituted as AR in the present case.**

Further, making the clarification in respect of the last order, it is stated by present counsel as well as new AR that vehicle was not repossessed and sold by the bank and Ld. counsel apologies for making the submission beyond the record. Accordingly, **this submission is accepted.**

Now, further final arguments are heard.

Put up for remaining final arguments on **28.02.2026.**

**(Aanchal)
District Judge-03, Rohini Courts
North District, Delhi
31.01.2026(amt)**