

**IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, RANIPET**

**Present: Tmt.S.POORNIMA, M.B.A., L.L.M.,
District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Ranipet.**

Thursday, the 26th day of March 2026

MC.No.02/2025

CNR.No.TNRP04-000163-2025

S. Sivagami, aged 35 years,
W/o Kumar
D/o Late Singaram
No: 176, Ambedkar street, Tengal Village & Post
Walaja Taluk, Ranipet District.

.... Petitioner

-Vs-

Kumar, aged about 40 years,
S/o. Kallapan,
No: 509, Old Colony, Banavaram village,
Sholinghur Taluk,
Ranipet District.

.... Respondent

This case was taken on file on 21.01.2025 and coming before me for hearing on 09.03.2026 in the presence Thiru.S. Gopalakrishnan, counsel for the Petitioner and Respondent set exparte and on perusal of entire case records and having stood over for consideration till this day this court passed the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner under section 125 of Cr.P.C to direct the respondent to pay a sum of Rs.20,000/- per month to the petitioner and that apart a sum of Rs.50,000/- towards litigation expenses.

1. Brief averments in Petition:

1. The petitioner submits that the marriage between the petitioner and respondent was solemnized on 05.03.2017, Sri Lakshmi Venkateswara Thirumana Mandapam at Banavaram as per Hindu rites and customs before the elders of both the family. At the time of marriage, the petitioner's parents presented 15 sovereigns of gold jewels to the petitioner and 3 sovereigns of gold bracelet and a Gold Ring to the respondent and also gave household articles like utensils, cot, bed and other furniture worth about Rs.1,00,000/-.

2. The petitioner submits that though the respondent was a native of Banavaram Village, Sholinghur Taulk, Ranipet District after the marriage the matrimonial home was set up at the respondent's house at Banavaram Village as a joint family.

3. The petitioner submits that immediately after the marriage, the respondent was amicable. Only after a few days did the respondent and his family members start showing their true colour by ill-treating the petitioner. The respondent from day three onwards abused the petitioner in filthy language pulled her out of the bedroom and asked the petitioner " How many of them wanted to satisfy you to always stay in the bedroom". While doing house chores the respondent's sister Kokila threatened that she will lock the kitchen and open the gas and nothing will happen even if you die and this is our native place and we know to close the police case.

4. The petitioner submits that the respondent's brother Saravana also pulled the petitioner's hair and slapped her on one occasion and the same was witnessed by the respondent, even pleading ignorance that she never replied back to the respondent's sister none of them in the family interfered.

5. The petitioner submits that the respondent did not interfered and always advised to listen to the elders of the family and peacefully lead life otherwise advised

the petitioner to look at her life, but the respondent's sister and brother always ill-treated the petitioner. The respondent's sister always abused the petitioner in filthy language which cannot be given in this petition and the petitioner was tarnished of her matrimonial life.

6. The petitioner submits that the petitioner returned to her mother's residence at mother's house for the month of Aadi and at that time the respondent and his family created a big scene by calling the parents and relatives of the petitioner and by withholding the petitioner's jewel of 5 sovereign necklace and all her belongings and sent the petitioner to her parent's house. The petitioner was terrified for a month's stay at matrimonial house, shattering her dreams of marital life.

7. The petitioner submits that with ulterior motives the respondent family came back to Thengal Village threatened the parents of the petitioner with dire consequences and only after the interference of the relatives and neighbors the respondent family returned to their native.

8. The petitioner submits that the torture underwent at the hands of the respondent and their family cannot be explained and the cruelty and the mental torture underwent for a short stay has scared her marital life, and the petitioner begged her parents not to send her back to the matrimonial house and for sure the respondent and his family will not allow her to be alive and all he screaming have created sympathy on the petitioner by her near and dear and well-wishers have also advised her to stay back.

9. The petitioner submits that she does not have any independent income for her livelihood and is unemployed and unable to maintain herself. She is fully dependent upon her aged parents and they are alone providing food and residence to her. She has no other except to reside along with her aged parents. But, on the other hand, the respondent is bound and duty bound to maintain her and provide her

residence, food, etc. The respondent is a private company employee and gets a monthly salary of Rs.25,000/-, besides owning extensive immovable and movable properties. He is getting income for a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- per month from his properties. The respondent is legally bound and liable to maintain the petitioner. The petitioner requires a minimum of Rs.20,000/- to consider the present-day cost of living and another sum of Rs. 50,000/- towards the litigation expenses.

10. The petitioner submits that the respondent has withdrawn from the society of the petitioner without any reasonable excuse. The petitioner is always willing to live with the respondent as a dutiful Hindu wife.

11. The petitioner submits that she has no life security if she lives with the respondent in his house. Due to the mental cruelty suffering and troubles given by the respondent to her, there is no more possibility for her to live with him. But, as a Hindu wife and due to the Hindu tradition, she is willing to live with the respondent, if the respondent gives an assurance of her life. But every thing was changed due to the illegal demands of the respondent and his mother.

12. The petitioner submits that there is no collusion in between the petitioner and the respondent in filing this petition.

13. It is therefore prayed that this Honorable Court may be pleased to direct the respondent to pay monthly maintenance for a sum of Rs.20,000/- to the petitioner and another sum of Rs. 50,000/- towards the litigation expenses and pass necessary orders.

2. Brief averments in the Counter:

The respondent remained exparte and not counter was filed.

3. Petitioner's side evidence:

On the side of the petitioner, petitioner Sivakami examined herself as PW1 and through her Ex.P1 to Ex.P3 were marked. Ex.P1 is the marriage invitation. Ex.P2 is

the marriage photo and EX.P3 is the Aadhar Card xerox of the petitioner.

5. Point for Consideration:

Whether the petitioner is entitled for the relief claimed for?

6. Discussions:

On perusal of records and also the evidence, this court finds that the marriage between petitioner and the respondent, stands proved by Ex.P1 and Ex.P2. The respondent failed to appear in court and was set exparte.

The petitioner in her evidence has stated that she was an ill-treated by the respondent and her family members. She also states that the respondent had staged a drama before the Panchayatdars as if the petitioner had picked up fight and left her matrimonial home and they had also retained her 5 sovereign gold jewels and all other belongings. She states that if given assurance to her life, she was ready to live with him. The petitioner has no independent income for her livelihood, and is unemployed and unable to maintain herself.

From the evidence of PW1 and the Ex.P1 and Ex.P2, the marriage of the petitioner and the respondent stands proved. Ergo, this court does not dwell deep into those aspects.

Having come to this conclusion, this court deems it proper to order maintenance of sum of Rs.5,000/- to petitioner as maintenance. The said maintenance is reckoned from the date of filing of maintenance case. The above said maintenance amount of Rs.5,000/- shall be paid by the respondent within 7th day of every calendar month.

In fine, the petition is allowed.

Dictated to the typist directly and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court this the 26th day of March 2026.

Sd/- *****
District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Ranipet.

Petitioner side Witness:

PW1 - Sivagami

Petitioner side Exhibits:

Ex.P1	05.03.2017	Marriage Invitation
Ex.P2	-	Marriage Photo
Ex.P3	-	Petitioners Aadhar card Xerox

Respondent side witness:

Nil

Respondent side Exhibits:-

Nil

Sd/- *****
District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Ranipet