

IN THE COURT OF THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, RANIPET

**Present: Tmt.A.DAOU DH AMMAL, B.A., M.L.,
Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ranipet**

Thursday, the 2nd day of April, 2026

**Calendar Case No.6/2025
(CNR No:TNRP02-000322-2025)**

State represented by the Deputy Director-1,
Industrial Safety and Health, Vellore.

... Complainant

//Vs//

1. Tr.Arun Mammen,A/56 (Owner)
s/o. K.M.Mammen Mappillai
MRF Limited, Conveyor Belting,
S.No.560, 561, 562, 563, 564
Itchiputhur, Arakkonam Taluk,
Ranipet.

(Dismissed as withdrawn as per order in Crl.M.P.No.148/2026, dated 24.03.2026.)

2. Tr.John Daniel.C, A/56,
S/o.C.John Daniel
Manager
S.No.560, 561, 562, 563, 564
Itchiputhur, Arakkonam Taluk,
Ranipet.

... Accused

This Calendar Case came up for final hearing on today before this court in the presence of Tmt.V.Ragini, Assistant Government Pleader for State and M/s.M.R.Ramanan and Associates, Mr.R.Pandurangan for Accused and Admission Petition filed by the Accused, upon perusal of the entire case records, and having stood over for consideration till this date, this Court delivered the following:-

JUDGMENT

1. This Complaint was filed by the Deputy Director, Industrial Safety and Health, Vellore against A1 u/s.40B(1)(2) of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948 r/w Rule 3 and 4 of Tamil Nadu Duties, Qualification and Conditions of Services Rules, 2005 punishable u/s.92 of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948 and A1 u/s.49(1)(2) of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948 r/w Rule 3 & 4 of Tamil Nadu Factories (Welfare Officers) Rules, 1953 punishable u/s.92 of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948 and A2 u/s.112 of Factories Act r/w 103C(1)(2)(4) of Tamil Nadu Factories Rules, 1950 punishable u/s.92 of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948.

2. A1 filed Petition in CrI.M.P.No.261/2025 u/s.228 BNSS to dispense with his personal appearance and permit him to be represented by his counsel and the same was allowed by this Court on 12.12.2025. A2 appeared before this court on receipt of summons and he was furnished with the copies of the case records as provided u/s.230 of BNSS.

3. The Prosecution filed Petition u/s.360 BNSS for withdrawal of the Complaint u/s.40B(1)(2) of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948 r/w Rule 3 and 4 of Tamil Nadu Duties, Qualification and Conditions of Services Rules, 2005 punishable u/s.92 of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948 and A1 u/s.49(1)(2) of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948 r/w Rule 3 & 4 of Tamil Nadu Factories (Welfare Officers) Rules, 1953 punishable u/s.92 of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948 as against A1 Arun Mamen as per the order of Withdrawal Proceedings in C2-33804/2025 dated 12.02.2026 initiated by the Director, Industrial Safety and Health, Chennai and Letter No.C/987/2025 dated 06.03.2026 of Deputy Director, Industrial Safety and Health, Vellore in CrI.M.P.No.147/2025 and Allowed on 24.03.2026. Hence the Complaint

u/s.40B(1)(2) of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948 r/w Rule 3 and 4 of Tamil Nadu Duties, Qualification and Conditions of Services Rules, 2005 punishable u/s.92 of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948 and A1 u/s.49(1)(2) of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948 r/w Rule 3 & 4 of Tamil Nadu Factories (Welfare Officers) Rules, 1953 punishable u/s.92 of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948 against A1 is withdrawn and A1 stands Discharged from the Complaint.

4. A2 was questioned u/s 251 Cr.P.C. about the accusation u/s.112 of Factories Act r/w 103C(1)(2)(4) of Tamil Nadu Factories Rules, 1950 punishable u/s.92 of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948 alleged against him and the same was read over and explained to him. A2 pleaded guilty and voluntarily filed an Admission Petition admitting his guilt. The counsel for the A2 pleaded leniency in awarding sentence to the Accused and his requisition has been considered.

5. Therefore on consolidation of all the facts stated supra, considering the voluntary admission made by the A2 and upon perusing the case records, it is very clearly seen that the Prosecution had proved the case against A2 beyond reasonable doubt. Hence this Court considers that A2 is found guilty for the offences u/s.112 of Factories Act r/w 103C(1)(2)(4) of Tamil Nadu Factories Rules, 1950 punishable u/s.92 of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948.

6. In the result, A2 is found guilty u/s.112 of Factories Act r/w 103C(1)(2)(4) of Tamil Nadu Factories Rules, 1950 punishable u/s.92 of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948 and accordingly sentenced to pay a fine of **Rs.5,000/-** in default to undergo simple imprisonment for the period of **3** months for the offence u/s.112 of Factories Act r/w 103C(1)(2)(4) of Tamil Nadu Factories Rules, 1950 punishable u/s.92 of the Tamil Nadu Factories Act, 1948. Case against A1 stands dismissed as Withdrawn.

7. No Material Objects were marked on the side of Prosecution. The Bail Bond executed by the Accused shall stand cancelled after expiry of Appeal Period.

Dictated to the Steno-typist, directly typed by her in Computer, corrected and pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 2nd day of April, 2026.

Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Ranipet.

Appendix

List of Complainant side Witnesses and Exhibits: NIL

List of Defence Witness and Exhibits: NIL

Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Ranipet.