

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
RANIPET DISTRICT**

Present: Tmt. V. THENMOZHE, B.Sc., M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ranipet.

Tuesday, the 09th day of June, 2026

Ebail-CrI.M.P.No.761 of 2026
(CNR.No.TNRP01-001632-2026)

Britanraj, (50),
S/o. Thangaraj,
No. 532, Mettu Colony,
Thanigai Polur, Arakkonam Taluk,
Ranipet District.

...Petitioner/Accused

-VS-

State represented by
The Inspector of Police
Arakkonam Taluk P.S.,
Cr. No. 231 /2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru. A. Mahendrarvarman, learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and after hearing both sides and upon perusal of records, this court, delivered the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner U/s 482 of B.N.S.S., 2023 to grant him anticipatory bail for the alleged offences U/s. 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) & 351(3) of Baratiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner has argued in support of the petition averments that the petitioner is innocent and no way connected with the said offence and that the false case has been foisted against the petitioner due to previous enmity and that the petitioner is ready and willing to offer sufficient sureties for his due appearance and It is therefore

prayed that this Court may be pleased to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner in his arrest and pass necessary orders.

3. On receiving notice, the learned Public Prosecutor represented that the petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant and if the petitioner is enlarged on anticipatory bail, he will involve in the similar nature of offences and the investigation is going on and hence he objected to release him on anticipatory bail.

4. Both side submissions heard. The alleged offences charged against the petitioner are U/s. 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) & 351(3) of Baratiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023. The contents of FIR revealed that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are the husband and wife, due to some disputes they separated with consent, on 10.05.2026 the defacto complainant picked up her son from the petitioner's house, at that time the dispute arose in between them and the petitioner scolded and assaulted her by using wooden log and caused injuries in her head. Considering the overt act of the petitioner, nature and gravity of the offences and the stage of the investigation, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 09th day of June, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge
Ranipet.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam.
2. The Inspector of Police, Arakkonam Taluk P.S.,
3. Thiru. A. Mahendrarvarman, Counsel for the petitioner.