

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
RANIPET DISTRICT**

Present: Tmt. V. THENMOZHE, B.Sc., M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ranipet.

Tuesday, the 09th day of June, 2026

Ebail-CrI.M.P.No.759 of 2026
(CNR.No.TNRP01-001631-2026)

Prakash, (46),
S/o. Sanjeevi,
No. 127, New Street, ABM Church,
Nagavedu, Dhatko Colony,
Arakkonam Taluk,
Ranipet District.

...Petitioner/Accused

-VS-

State represented by
The Inspector of Police
Arakkonam Taluk P.S.,
Cr. No. 97 /2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru. A. Mahendrarvarman, learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and after hearing both sides and upon perusal of records, this court, delivered the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner U/s 482 of B.N.S.S., 2023 to grant him anticipatory bail for the alleged offences U/s. 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of Baratiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023 and Sec. 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman, Act 2002.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner has argued in support of the petition averments that the petitioner is innocent and no way connected with the said offence and that the false case has been foisted against the petitioner due to previous enmity and that the petitioner is ready and

willing to offer sufficient sureties for his due appearance and It is therefore prayed that this Court may be pleased to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and pass necessary orders.

3. On receiving notice, the learned Public Prosecutor represented that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and that the petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant, caused injuries and the injured was discharged from the hospital and if the petitioner is enlarged on anticipatory bail he will involve in the similar nature of offences and the investigation is going on and hence, he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Both side submissions heard. The alleged offences charged against the petitioner are U/s. 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of Baratiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023 and Sec. 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women, Act 2002. Due to previous enmity, the petitioner tried to assault the defacto complainant's grandson, when the defacto complainant prevent him, the petitioner pushed her as a result of which she got some injuries. The learned Public Prosecutor stated that the injured was discharged from the hospital. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of the offences, the stage of the investigation and that the petitioner has no previous case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

5. In the result, this petition is allowed.

(a) The petitioner shall, in the event of his arrest by the respondent police or surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam, within 15 days from today, be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.10,000/-, with two sureties for like sum each to the satisfaction of the above said Magistrate;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and produce copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police, daily at 10.00 a.m. for 30 days from the next day of execution of bond before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam.

(d) The petitioner further directed to appear before the respondent police, as and when required for interrogation, on summon.

(e) The petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(g) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed by himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala* [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;

(h) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S. Act, 2023.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 09th day of June, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge
Ranipet.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam.
2. The Inspector of Police, Arakkonam Taluk P.S.,
3. Thiru. A. Mahendrarvarman, Counsel for the petitioner.