

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
RANIPET DISTRICT**

Present: Tmt. V. THENMOZHE, B.Sc., M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ranipet.

Tuesday, the 09th day of June, 2026

CrI.M.P.No.764 of 2026
(CNR.No.TNRP01-001624-2026)

A6 Gopi (21),
S/o. Siva,
No. 121, Mariyamman Koil Street,
Thirumalpur, Nemili Taluk,
Ranipet District.

... Petitioner/Accused

-vs-

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Nemili P.S.,
Cr.No. 106 of 2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru. D. Vikram, learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor appeared on behalf of the respondent, after hearing both sides, this court, delivered the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner U/s 483 of B.N.S.S., 2023 to grant him bail for the alleged offences U/s. 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 118(1), 109, 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner has argued in support of the petition averments that the petitioner was remanded to judicial custody on 27.05.2026 and he is in custody for the past 14 days and that the petitioner is innocent and no way connected with the alleged offences and that the petitioner is ready and willing to offer sufficient sureties to ensure his regular attendance and to abide by any condition imposed to him and prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

3. On receiving notice, the learned Public Prosecutor represented that the the petitioner along with other accused have scolded and assaulted the defacto complainant and if the petitioner is enlarged on bail he will abscond and tamper the witnesses and involve in the same kind of offences and the investigation is going on and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The petitioner seeks bail for the alleged offences U/s. 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 118(1), 109, 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. Both side Submissions heard. Records perused. The petitioner is incarcerated for the past 14 days. It is the allegation that due to previous enmity, the petitioner and other accused have jointly scolded and assaulted the defacto complainant by using knife and bricks, caused severe injuries. Taking into consideration of the above facts and circumstances of this case, nature of the offences and also considering the period of incarceration of the petitioner, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

5. In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 09th day of June, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge
Ranipet.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, No.II Arakkonam.
The Inspector of Police, Nemili P.S.,
Thiru. D. Vikram, learned counsel for the petitioner.