

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
RANIPET DISTRICT**

Present: Tmt. V. THENMOZHE, B.Sc., M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ranipet.

Monday, the 01st day of June, 2026

CrI.M.P.No.725 of 2026
(CNR.No.TNRP01-001524-2026)
and
CrI.M.P.No.734 of 2026
(CNR.No.TNRP01-001528-2026)

Devaraj @ Surya (29),
S/o. Munivel,
No. 143, Perumal Koil Street,
Vathiyur, Kuram,
Kanchipuram District.

... Petitioner/Accused
in CrI.M.P. No.725/2026

A4 Naveen (23),
S/o. Nagaraj,
No. 133/1, New Colony,
Thirumalpur, Nemili Taluk,
Ranipet District.

... Petitioner/Accused
in CrI.M.P. No.734/2026

-VS-

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Nemili P.S.,
Cr.No. 106 of 2026.

... Respondent/Complainant
in both petitions.

These petitions are coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru. P. Sugumar, learned counsel for the petitioner in CrI.M.P.No.725/2026, Thiru. D. Vikram, learned counsel for the petitioner in CrI.M.P.No.734/2026 and the learned Public Prosecutor appeared on

.2.

behalf of the respondent, considering that the bail applications are arising out of case having same crime number and after hearing both sides, this court, delivered the following:

COMMON ORDER

These petitions have been filed by the petitioners U/s 483 of B.N.S.S., 2023 to grant them bail for the alleged offences U/s. 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 118(1), 109, 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. The learned counsels for the petitioners have argued in support of the petition averments that the petitioner/Devaraj @ Surya was remanded to judicial custody on 07.05.2026, he is in judicial custody for the past 26 days and the petitioner/A4 was remanded to judicial custody on 24.04.2026, he is in custody for the past 39 days and that the petitioners are innocent persons and the previous bail application filed by the petitioner/A4 in CrI.M.P.No.662/2026 dated: 14.05.2026 was dismissed as per the Order of this Court and that the co-accused/A5 & Sudhakar were released on bail in CrI.O.P.Nos.13150 & 13152 as per the Orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras and that the petitioners are ready and willing to furnish sufficient sureties to ensure their regular attendance and abide by any condition imposed by this Court.

3. On receiving notice, the learned Public Prosecutor represented that the petitioner/A4 has two previous case and the petitioners with other accused have scolded and assaulted the defacto complainant and if the petitioners are enlarged on bail they will involve in the similar kind of offences and the investigation is pending and hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

4. The petitioners are seeking bail for the alleged offences U/s. 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 118(1), 109, 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. Both side submissions Heard. Records perused. The petitioners are in judicial custody for morethan 25 days. As per FIR, due to previous

enmity, the petitioners and other accused have jointly scolded and assaulted the defacto complainant by using knife and bricks, caused severe injuries. Taking into consideration of the above facts and circumstances of this case, nature of the offences and the co-accused were released on bail as per Orders of the Hon'ble High Court and also considering the period of incarceration of the petitioners, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

5. In the result, these petitions are allowed.

(a) The petitioners shall be enlarged on bail on their executing a bond for Rs.10,000/-each, with two sureties each for like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.II Arakkonam.

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and produce copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m. and 05.30 p.m. until further orders from the next day of execution of bond before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.II Arakkonam.

(d) The petitioners are further directed to appear before the respondent police, as and when required for interrogation, on summon.

(e) The petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) The petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(g) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.II Arakkonam/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate

.4.

action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed by himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;

(h) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S. Act, 2023.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 01st day of June 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ranipet.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, No.II Arakkonam.
2. The Inspector of Police, Nemili P.S.,
3. Thiru. P. Sugumar, learned counsel for the petitioner in Crl.M.P.No.725/2026.
4. Thiru. D. Vikram, learned counsel for the petitioner in Crl.M.P.No.734/2026.

Copy to

The Superintendent, Central Prison, Vellore with an instruction to communicate this bail order to the Sub Jail if the under trial prisoner is incarcerated in any Sub Jail.