

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF  
RANIPET DISTRICT**

**Present: Thiru M. SELVAM, M.L.,**  
Principal Sessions Judge, Ranipet.

Thursday, the 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

**Ebail-CrI.M.P.No.424 of 2026**  
**(CNR.No.TNRP01-000922-2026)**

**A3** Siranjeevi (33)

S/o. Palaiya,  
Mosque Street, Kondapuram,  
Ammaneri, Pallipattu,  
Tiruvallur.

...Petitioner/Accused

-vs-

State represented by  
The Inspector of Police  
Sholinghur P.S.,  
Cr. No. 362 /2025.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru. P. Sankar, learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and after hearing both sides and upon perusal of records, this court, delivered the following:

**ORDER**

This petition is filed by the petitioner U/s 482 of B.N.S.S., 2023 to grant him anticipatory bail for the alleged offences U/s. 331(4), 305 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner has argued in support of the petition averments submitted that the case was registered on the basis of a false complaint due to previous enmity and that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and that the respondent police attempted to arrest the petitioner and that the petitioner is ready to

abide by the conditions likely to be imposed by this court and therefore, seeks for anticipatory bail.

3. On receiving notice, the learned Public Prosecutor represented that there are three previous cases in similar nature of offence pending against the petitioner and this case has been registered against the petitioner for the offence of theft of cash kept in the shop run by the defacto complainant, cause damage to shop and further, the case properties have not been recovered. If the petitioner is left out on anticipatory bail, he will tamper the witnesses and involve in the similar nature of offences and the investigation is at initial stage and hence, he strongly objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Both side submissions heard. Records perused. The petitioner/A3 seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offences U/s. 331(4), 305 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. It is alleged that the petitioner/A3 and other accused have illegally trespassed into the Bunk Shop run by the defacto complainant by way of broken the cement sheet and steal the cash, a sum of Rs.63,800/-. The learned Public Prosecutor represented that case properties have not been recovered. Taking into consideration of the above facts and circumstances of this case, nature and gravity of the offences and that the petitioner has three previous cases, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge  
Ranipet.

To  
The Judicial Magistrate, Sholinghur.  
The Inspector of Police, Sholinghur P.S.,  
Thiru P. Sankar, Counsel for the petitioner.