

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF  
RANIPET DISTRICT**

**Present: Thiru M. SELVAM, M.L.,**  
Principal Sessions Judge, Ranipet.

Tuesday, the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

**CrI.M.P.No.406 of 2026**  
**(CNR.No.TNRP01-000893-2026)**  
**and**  
**CrI.M.P.No.411 of 2026**  
**(CNR.No.TNRP01-000908-2026)**

**A2** Sathish Kumar @ Jambu, (23)  
S/o. Murali,  
No. 63, Mel Kalathur Village,  
Road Street, Nemili Taluk,  
Ranipet District.

... Petitioner/Accused-A2  
in CrI.M.P. No.406/2026

**A1** Nagaraj @ Ajay, (23)  
S/o. Somu,  
No.3/167, Bajanai Kovil Street,  
Selvamanthai Colony, Selvamandhai,  
Melkalathur Post, Arakkonam Taluk,  
Ranipet District.

... Petitioner/Accused-A1  
in CrI.M.P. No.411/2026

-vs-

State represented by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Arakkonam Taluk P.S.,  
Cr.No. 76 of 2026.

... Respondent/Complainant  
in both petitions.

.2.

These petitions are coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru. P. Prasanna Kumar learned counsel for the petitioner/A2, Thiru. R. Kalaichelvan, learned counsel for the petitioners/A1 and the learned Public Prosecutor appeared on behalf of the respondent, considering that both the bail applications are arising out of case having same crime number and after hearing both sides, this court, delivered the following:

### **COMMON ORDER**

These two petitions have been filed by the petitioners U/s 483 of B.N.S.S., 2023 to grant them bail for the alleged offences U/s. 296(b), 109, 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. The learned counsels for the petitioners have argued in support of the petition averments submitted that the petitioner/A1 was remanded to judicial custody on 18.02.2026, he is in custody for the past 21 days and the petitioner/A2 was remanded to judicial custody on 02.03.2026, he is in custody for the past 09 days and that the petitioners were wrongly implicated in this case by the respondent police and that they are no way connected with the alleged offences and that the petitioners are having permanent residence and ready to furnish sufficient sureties to ensure their regular attendance and abide by any condition imposed by this Court and prays that the petitioners may be granted bail.

3. On receiving notice, the learned Public Prosecutor represented that there are three previous cases registered against the petitioner/A1 and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner/A2 and in this case, the petitioner/A1 with assistance of A2, scolded the defacto complainant with obscene words and assaulted him with knife, caused injuries and also threatened. The injured was discharged from the hospital. If the petitioners are enlarged on bail they will tamper the witnesses and involve in the same kind of offences and the investigation is going on and hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

.3.

**4.** The petitioners/A1 & A2 were booked by the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s. 296(b), 109, 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. Both side submissions Heard. Records perused. As per FIR, the petitioner/A1 with assistance of the petitioner/A2 voluntarily picked up quarrel with the defacto complainant, scolded him in a filthy language and assaulted him by using knife, caused injuries in his head and also threatened. Taking into consideration of the above facts and circumstances of this case, nature and gravity of the offences and the stage of investigation this court is inclined to release the petitioners on bail subject to the following conditions:

**5.** In the result, these two petitions are allowed.

**(a)** The petitioners/A1 & A2 shall be enlarged on bail on their executing a bond for Rs.10,000/-each, with two sureties each for like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam.

**(b)** The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and produce copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

**(c)** The petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m. for 30 days from the next day of execution of bond before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam.

**(d)** The petitioners are further directed to appear before the respondent police, as and when required for interrogation, on summon.

**(e)** The petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

**(f)** The petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

.4.

**(g)** On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed by himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;

**(h)** If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S. Act, 2023.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ranipet.

To

The Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam.

The Inspector of Police, Arakkonam Taluk P.S.,

Thiru. R. Kalaichelvan, learned counsel for the petitioner/A1.

Thiru. P. Prasanna Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner/A2.

Copy to

The Superintendent, Central Prison, Vellore with an instruction to communicate this bail order to the Sub Jail if the under trial prisoners are incarcerated in any Sub Jail.