

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
RANIPET DISTRICT**

Present: Thiru M. SELVAM, M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ranipet.

Monday, the 09th day of March, 2026

Ebail-CrI.M.P.No.399 of 2026
(CNR.No.TNRP01-000888-2026)

A1 S. Dinesh (30)
S/o. Sridhar,

A2 V. Abhinaya (35)
D/o. Velu,
Both are residing at,
Old Colony, Thanigai Polur Village,
Arakkonam Taluk, Ranipet District.

...Petitioners/Accused

-vs-

State represented by
The Inspector of Police
Arakkonam Taluk P.S.,
Cr. No. 74 /2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru. R. Goverthanam, learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and after hearing both sides and upon perusal of records, this court, delivered the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioners U/s 482 of B.N.S.S., 2023 to grant them anticipatory bail for the alleged offences U/s. 296(b), 133, 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

.2.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners has argued in support of the petition averments submitted that the case was registered on the basis of a false complaint and that the petitioners are innocents and no way connected with the alleged offences and they have been falsely implicated in this case and that the respondent police attempted to arrest the petitioners and that the petitioners are ready to abide by the conditions likely to be imposed by this court and therefore, seeks for anticipatory bail.

3. On receiving notice, the learned Public Prosecutor represented that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners and in this case, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant, caused injuries and threatened him. The injured was discharged from the hospital. If the petitioner is left out on anticipatory bail, they will tamper the witnesses and involve in the similar nature of offences and the investigation is going on and hence, he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Both side submissions heard. The alleged offences charged against the petitioners/A1 & A2 are U/s. 296(b), 133, 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. It is alleged that the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant by using their slippers and wooden rod and also threatened him. The learned Public Prosecutor represented that the injured was discharged from the hospital. Considering the above facts and circumstances of this case, nature and gravity of the offences, the stage of the investigation and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

5. In the result, this petition is allowed.

(a) The petitioners/A1 & A2 shall, in the event of their arrest by the respondent police or surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam, within 15 days from today, be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.10,000/-each, with two sureties each for like sum each to the satisfaction of the above said Magistrate;

.3.

- (b)** The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and produce copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;
- (c)** The petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m. for 30 days from the next day of execution of bond before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam.
- (d)** The petitioners further directed to appear before the respondent police, as and when required for interrogation, on summon.
- (e)** The petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;
- (f)** The petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
- (g)** On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed by himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala* [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;
- (h)** If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S. Act, 2023.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 09th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge
Ranipet.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam.
The Inspector of Police, Arakkonam Taluk P.S.,
Thiru R. Goverthanam, Counsel for the petitioners.