

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
RANIPET DISTRICT**

Present: Thiru M. SELVAM, M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ranipet.

Monday, the 09th day of March, 2026

Ebail-CrI.M.P.No.389 of 2026
(CNR.No.TNRP01-000858-2026)

A2 Nanthini (28)

W/o. Prabhakaran,
Tank Street, Vedal Village,
Arakkonam Taluk,
Ranipet District.

...Petitioner/Accused

-VS-

State represented by
The Inspector of Police
Arakkonam Taluk P.S.,
Cr.No. 67 of 2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru. R. Goverthanam, learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and after hearing both sides and upon perusal of records, this court, delivered the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner U/s 482 of B.N.S.S., 2023 to grant her anticipatory bail for the alleged offences U/s. 109, 118(1), 296(b), 351(3) of BNS 2023.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner has argued in support of the petition averments submitted that the case was registered on the basis of a false complaint and that the petitioner is innocent and no way connected with the alleged offences and she has been falsely implicated in this case and that the respondent police attempted to arrest the petitioner and that the

.2.

co-accused/A1 was released on bail in CrI.M.P.No.338/2026 as per the Order of this Court and that the petitioner is ready to abide by the conditions likely to be imposed by this court and therefore, seeks for anticipatory bail.

3. On receiving notice, the learned Public Prosecutor represented that there are two accused in this case, the petitioner is A2 and in this case, due to previous enmity, the petitioner/A2 triggered her husband/A1 to assault the defacto complainant. The injured was discharged from the hospital. If the petitioner is left out on anticipatory bail, she will tamper the witnesses and involve in the similar nature of offences and the investigation is going on, hence, he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Both side submissions heard. The petitioner/A2 seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offences U/s. 109, 118(1), 296(b), 351(3) of BNS 2023. The contents of FIR revealed that due to previous enmity, the petitioner/A2 voluntarily picked up quarrel with the defacto complainant and she induced her husband/A1 to assault him. The learned Public Prosecutor stated that the injured was discharged from the hospital. Taking into consideration of the above facts and circumstances of this case, nature and gravity of the offences, the stage of the investigation and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

5. In the result, this petition is allowed.

(a) The petitioner/A2 shall, in the event of her arrest by the respondent police or surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam, within 15 days from today, be enlarged on bail on her executing a bond for Rs.10,000/-, with two sureties for like sum each to the satisfaction of the above said Magistrate;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and produce copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

.3.

(c) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m. for 30 days from the next day of execution of bond before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam.

(d) The petitioner further directed to appear before the respondent police, as and when required for interrogation, on summon.

(e) The petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(g) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed by himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala* [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;

(h) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S. Act, 2023.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 09th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge
Ranipet.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam.
The Inspector of Police, Arakkonam Taluk P.S.,
Thiru R. Goverthanam, Counsel for the petitioner.