

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS DIVISION OF
RANIPET DISTRICT**

Present: Thiru M. SELVAM, M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ranipet.

Monday, the 09th day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No.383 of 2026
(CNR.No.TNRP01-000855-2026)

Sarathkumar, (24)
S/o. Balakrishnan,
No.53 New Street,
Nanthivedanthangal,
Arakkonam Taluk,
Ranipet District.

... Petitioner/Accused

-VS-

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Taluk P.S.,
Cr.No. 88 of 2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Thiru. S. Tamilvanan, learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor appeared on behalf of the respondent, after hearing both sides, this court, delivered the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner U/s 483 of B.N.S.S., 2023 to grant him bail for the alleged offences U/s. 7(1)(a) of Criminal Law Amendment Act, 1932 and Sec. 25(1A) of ARMS Act 1959.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner has argued in support of the petition averments submitted that the petitioner was remanded to judicial custody on 25.02.2026 and he is in custody for the past 13 days and that the petitioner is innocent and no way connected with the alleged offences and

that the petitioner is having permanent residence and ready to furnish sufficient sureties to ensure his regular attendance and abide by any condition imposed by this Court and prays that the petitioner may be granted bail.

3. On receiving notice, the learned Public Prosecutor represented that there are 14 previous cases registered against the petitioner he is having Hs. No.02/2026 and in this case, the petitioner armed with a weapon namely patta kathi at 2 feet, caused annoyance in the public place and threatened the passers by showing the knife. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail he will abscond and involve in the same kind of offences and also create law and order problems and the investigation is going on, hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The petitioner is seeking bail for the alleged offences U/s. 7(1)(a) of Criminal Law Amendment Act, 1932 and Sec. 25(1A) of ARMS Act 1959. Records perused. Both side submissions heard. The petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 13 days. The contents of FIR revealed that the petitioner possessed the deadly weapon known as Patta Kathi to a length of 2 feet, caused annoyance in the public place and threatened the passersby showing the said knife. Taking into consideration of the above facts and circumstances of this case, nature and gravity of the offences, the stage of investigation and that the petitioner has 14 previous cases, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

5. In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 09th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ranipet.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, No.I Arakkonam.
The Inspector of Police, Arakkonam Taluk P.S.,
Thiru S. Tamilvanan, counsel for the petitioner.