

**IN THE COURT OF THE I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE
RANIPET, RANIPET DISTRICT.**

**Present: Thiru.A.BALAKRISHNAN, B.B.A., L.L.B.,
I Additional District Judge,
Ranipet.**

Monday, this the 6th day of October 2025

**I.A.No.6/2025
in
O.S.No.24/2020**

1. Kuppammal
2. Santhanam
3. Dayalan

..... Petitioners/Defendants 1 to 3.

-Vs-

1. Vijaya
2. Sankar
3. Valliyammal
4. Selvi
5. Elangovan
6. Jayachandran
7. The Sub-Registrar, Walajah
8. The District Registrar, Arakonam
9. The Inspector General of Registration,
Chennai – 28.

.....Respondent/Plaintiff.

.....Respondents/Defendants 4 to 11.

This petition is coming before me for hearing on 06.10.2025 in the presence of Tmt.Nazrin Begum, Advocate for the Petitioners/Defendants 1 to 3 and Thiru.S.P.Sampath kumar, Advocate for 1st Respondent/Plaintiff and Thiru.S.Annadurai, Advocate for respondents 2 to 6/defendants 4 to 8 and the respondents 7 to 9 are remained exparte in the suit and upon hearing the arguments of both side and on perusal of all connected material records and having stood over for consideration till this date, this court passed the following;

ORDER

The petitioners/defendants 1 to 3 have filed the application U/o 8 Rule 1 (A)(3) of CPC., seeking an order to receive the documents from them.

1. The 2nd defendant has filed an affidavit in support of the application stating that the documents which are produced along with the present application could not be produced along with the written statement and unless the documents are received, they will be put to irreparable loss.

2. The counsel for the 1st respondent/Plaintiff has filed a counter (without the signature of the 1st respondent) contending that the true copies of registered documents cannot be received unless the original documents are produced by the petitioners since the plaintiff denied the execution of the documents.

3. On perusal of records, it is seen that the plaintiff has filed the above suit for partition of her 1/7 share in the suit properties and also for permanent injunction. When the case was posted for defendants side evidence, the petitioners have come forwarded with the present application to receive the documents. The petitioners have produced the registration copy of the documents and also the online downloaded patta copies and encumbrance certificates. Considering the nature of the suit, this court is of the view that it is absolutely necessary to give opportunity to the contesting defendants to produce their documentary evidences. The plaintiff has got opportunity to make cross examination with regard to the admissibility and proof of documents produced on the side of the defendants.

In the result, the application is allowed subject to admissibility, relevancy and proof of documents produced along with this application. No cost.

Directly dictated by me to the Steno-typist and typed by her in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 6th day of October 2025.

I Additional District Judge,
Ranipet.