



1. The petitioner/Plaintiff has filed an affidavit in support of the application stating that he has filed the above suit against the Commissioner of Arcot Municipality for the relief of damages and to return the articles which were unlawfully taken out from his shop on 07.07.2018. The petitioner/Plaintiff has further stated that the defendant and his employees had taken the articles in the presence of Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranipet and the Tashildar, Arcot Taluk was also present at that time and hence the Tashildar Arcot Taluk, Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranipet District and the District Collector, Ranipet are necessarily to be impleaded as defendants in the suit to bring out the truth.

2. The 1<sup>st</sup> respondent/defendant and also the proposed parties have filed separate counters contending that there is no necessity to add the proposed parties in the suit.

**3. Point for determination is :-**

Whether the application filed by the petitioner/plaintiff to implead the proposed parties is deserved to be allowed?

**4. Point :-** a) On perusal of records, the petitioner/Plaintiff has filed the above suit against the Commissioner, Arcot Municipality, seeking relief of damages at the rate of Rs.7,000/- per day from 07.07.2018 and for mandatory injunction to return the articles which were taken from his shop by the defendant. The plaintiff has laid the above suit on the specific allegation against the Commissioner, Arcot Municipality that on 07.07.2018 the Commissioner and his employees unlawfully broke open the lock of his shop and taken the articles from his shop. There is no pleadings, cause of action and prayer against the proposed parties in the above suit. The petitioner/Plaintiff wants to implead the proposed parties for the only reason that the Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranipet and The Tashildar, Arcot Taluk were also present at the time of taking articles by the defendant from his shop. When there is no specific pleadings, cause of action and prayer against the Revenue Officials in the above suit, the presence of the above proposed parties are not necessary to decide the issue involved in the suit. If the plaintiff things

that the truth has to be elicited from the above proposed parties, they can very well examine them as witnesses at the time of trial. The proposed parties are neither necessary parties nor proper parties to the suit. There is no merit in the application and hence the application is liable to be dismissed. This point is answered accordingly.

In the result, the application is dismissed. No cost.

Dictated by me to the Steno-typist transcribed and typed by her in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of December 2025.

I Additional District Judge,  
Ranipet.