



-1-

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, SPECIAL COURT FOR TRIAL OF
CASES REGISTERED UNDER SC/ST (POA) ACT, 1989,**

RAMANATHAPURAM.

**Present: Thiru.C.Mohanram, M.A., B.L.,
Sessions Judge (FAC)**

Thursday the 12th day of March 2026

Cr.M.P.No.85/2026

Mariyammal, Aged 38/2026
W/o. Shanmugapandi,
Perunkanai, Melakulam,
Abiramam Post,
Mudukulathur Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

..... Petitioner/ Accused

/Vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
Abiramam Police Station,
Cr.No.19/2026

..... Respondent / Police

Moorthi,
S/o. Ramalingam.

..... Respondent / Complainant

This petition was coming on this day 12.03.2026 for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.K.Gunasekaran, the learned counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.V.T.Ramakrishnan, the learned Special Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passed the following....

ORDER

The petitioner who is A2 has filed this petition U/s.483 of BNSS Act seeking bail.

The case of the prosecution is that on 08.02.2026 when the defacto complainant parked his two-wheeler and for collecting honey, the accused persons quarreled with him and humiliated him by uttering the community name and also attacked him by using hands.



2. The learned counsel for petitioner / accused would submit that the respondent Police have registered a case against this petitioner / accused for offences U/s.296(b), 115(2), 133, 351(2) of BNS r/w Sec. 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of SC/ST (PoA) Act. The petitioner/ accused has filed a petition in CrI.OP(MD)No.3128 of 2026 dated 16.02.2026 before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court and the Hon'ble Madurai Bench Court has directed this court to consider the bail application if any filed by the petitioner/ accused on the same day. As per directions of Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, the petitioner has filed this application on 16.02.2026. The learned counsel for accused would submit that the petitioner is ready to abide any condition that may be imposed on him and undertake to furnish substantial sureties in the event of granting bail.

3. This Court has given notice to the defacto complainant as per Section.15(A) of SC/ST Act. The defacto complainant is present and stated that he objects to release of the petitioner on bail.

4. The respondent Police would submit that the defacto complainant was discharged from the hospital. There is no previous case against the petitioner / A2. It has also submitted that 5 cases were already been registered against the A3. Accused / A1 is released on bail by this Court. Therefore, the learned Special Public Prosecutor has strongly objected to release the petitioner / accused on bail.

5. This Court perused the case records from the submissions made on defacto complainant and the respondent Police. This Court could know that several cases were



already been registered against A1 and A3. Considering the fact that the defacto complainant was discharged from the hospital, this Court is of the considered view that the bail application filed by A2 can be allowed subject to the following conditions.

- 1) the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like sum.
- 2) the petitioner shall appear before the respondent Police daily at 10.00 a.m for until further orders.
- 3) the petitioner shall maintain peace and co-operate with the investigation.
- 4) the petitioner shall not enter the locality of the Complainant and shall not tamper with evidence or tamper the witness at any stage proceedings.
- 5) If the petitioner fail to comply with the above conditions, the bail order shall stand cancelled automatically.

In the result, the petition is allowed on the above terms.

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 12th day of March 2026

Sessions Judge,
Special Court for Trial of Cases
Registered under SC/ST (POA) Act,
Ramanathapuram(FAC).

Copy to

1. The Special Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kamuthi Sub-Division.
3. The Advocate concerned.