

In the Court of District Munsif, Mudukulathur

Present : Ms.J.C.Aparna, B.A, L.L.B.,

District Munsif,

Mudukulathur.

Monday the 10th day of November 2025

I.A.No.04/2025

in

O.S.No.31/2021

Sendhooran

..... Petitioner/Plaintiff

/VS/

1. Mathivanan

2. Maruthamalai

3. Veluthai

....Respondents/Defendants

This petition came on 23.10.2025 before this court for a final hearing in the presence of K.Devendhiramuniasamy, Learned Counsel for Petitioner/Plaintiff, 1, 2 Respondents/ Defendants set exparte and Thiru.M.Dharmar, Learned Counsel for the 3rd Respondent/ 3rd Defendant and upon hearing both sides enquiry and upon perusing the material records and having stood over till this day for consideration, this court delivered the following:

ORDER

This petition has been filed under Order 16 Rule 2&3 of Civil Procedure Code to summon an Officer authorized under the Ramanathapuram District Collector to produce the SLR for the suit property in the name of the petitioners ancestor and to depose about the same

2. The contention of the Petitioner/Plaintiff in brief:-

The petitioner is the plaintiff in the main suit. The main case is posted for plaintiff side further evidence. During the cross examination of Pw1, the Pw1 was questioned as to why the documents in the name of the plaintiffs ancestors were not marked. The SLR was left out to be marked during the chief examination inadvertently. Hence this petition to summon an Officer authorized under the Ramanathapuram District Collector to produce the SLR for the suit property in the name of the petitioners ancestor and to depose about the same.

3. Brief averments of the 3rd Respondent/ 3rd Defendant in brief:

The respondent denies the averments in the petition the petitioner has not mentioned as to the fact that which SLR is to be produced or the name of the alleged ancestors in who's name the SLR is mutated. This petition is filed to drag on the proceedings and is liable to be dismissed.

5. Point for consideration:-

Whether the petition be allowed and the relief be granted as prayed for?

No documents filed on either side.

6. Answer to the Point:-

6.1. Both side enquiry heard. Records perused. This petition is filed to summon an Officer authorized under the Ramanathapuram District Collector and to depose about the same. The respondent denies the averments in the petition. The petitioner has not mentioned as to the fact that which SLR is to be produced or the name of the alleged ancestor in whose name the SLR is mutated. The SLR sought to be produce is a public document. The same can be marked through the petitioners by obtaining the certified copies of the same. There is absolutely no necessity to examine the said government official regarding the same. On perusal it is seen that, the petitioners have also not taken

any steps towards obtaining the said certified copies or any averments as to their application for procuring the certified copies were rejected. Hence the SLR being an public document, its certified copy can be very well marked. Hence in the light of the said discussions, this petition is dismissed.

7. In the result, this petition is dismissed. No costs.

Directly typed by me in my official laptop and formatted by Steno Typist, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this 10th day of November 2025.

**District Munsif,
Mudukulathur.**

Both side witnesses and documents : Nil

**District Munsif,
Mudukulathur.**