

**“In the Court of District Munsif, Mudukulathur**

**Present : Ms.J.C.Aparna, B.A, L.L.B.,**

**District Munsif,**

**Mudukulathur.**

**Thursday the 5<sup>th</sup> day of June 2025**

**I.A.No.2/2025**

**in**

**O.S.No.16/2024**

1. Natarajan
2. Shanmugasamy
3. Murugan
4. Thangadurai

..... Petitioners/Plaintiffs

*/VS/*

1. Palanisamy
2. Usaina Asia
3. Hairunnisha
4. Ummu Sowtha

..... Respondents/Defendants

This petition came on 29.04.2025 before this court for a final hearing in the presence of Thiru.K.Alagarsamy, Learned Counsel for the Petitioners/Plaintiffs and Thiru.K.Senthoor, Learned Counsel for the Respondents/Defendants and upon hearing both sides arguments and upon perusing the material records and having stood over till this day for consideration, this court delivered the following:

**ORDER**

This petition has been filed under Order 7 Rule 14(3) of CPC to receive the additional documents on the side of the petitioners.

**2. The contention of the Petitioners/Plaintiffs in brief:-**

The petitioners states that because the documents in this case got mixed up with the other documents, there was a delay in filing the same. The plaintiffs failure to not produce the documents was neither intentional nor deliberate. Hence it is submitted that adducing evidence is crucial for the plaintiffs to establish their case. Therefore, it is prayed to allow the petition.

**3. Brief averments of the Respondents/Defendants in brief:**

The respondents 1 to 4 (hereinafter mentioned as respondents) stated that, no valid reason has been stated by the petitioners to receive additional documents and so the reason stated by the petitioners that the documents got mixed up is unsustainable. Further it is stated that the documents mentioned in this petition are forged for the purpose of this suit and in no way connected to the case. It is stated that since the documents are revenue documents they cannot be accepted without proving them first by the revenue officials. It is also stated this petition has been filed to drag on the proceedings so the same cannot be allowed.

**4. Point for consideration:-**

Whether the petition be allowed and the relief be granted as prayed for?

No documents filed on either side. Heard both sides.

**5. Answer to the Point:-**

Upon perusal of materials available on record and after hearing the rival submissions of both sides this court is of the considered opinion that the additional documents may be relevant to the matter pending for adjudication and will assist the court in proper adjudication. The plea taken by the respondents' counsel that the documents are forged and cannot be letting evidence will be

decided in the trial. Any objections can be put forward at the time of marking of documents subject to relevancy and admissibility. For the above said reasons this court considers that, this petition be allowed subject to proof of relevancy and admissibility of the petition mentioned documents going to be received in evidence. Hence in the Interest of justice, the petition is allowed.

In the result, this petition is allowed. No costs.

Directly typed by me in my official laptop and formatted by Steno Typist, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this 5<sup>th</sup> day of June 2025.

**District Munsif,  
Mudukulathur.**

**Both side witnesses and documents : Nil**

**District Munsif,  
Mudukulathur.**

