

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO II, RAMANATHAPURAM
Present: Thiru. S.B. Sujith, B.A.,B.L.,(HONS)
District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Rameshwaram
Judicial Magistrate No II(FAC), Ramanathapuram
Friday, the 13th day of March 2026
C.C No.43/2015
CNR NO. TNRM02-000158-2015

State represented by
V.Balamarie Drugs Inspector
Ramanathapuram Range Office
The Assistant Director of Drugs Control
Virudhunagar Zone,
30, L.P.Shanmugam Street,
Virudhunagar

.....Complainant

Versus

1. M/s.Golden Corporation,
No.10A/1, Kalligadeviammankovil Street,
Velipattinam,
Ramanathapuram
represented by its partner Thiru.Nagarajan

.....Accused No.1

2. R.Nagarajan,
Partner of M/s.Golden Corporation,
No.10A/1, Kalligadeviammankovil Street,
Velipattinam,
Ramanathapuram

.....Accused No.2

ORDER

A1 is a Corporation company represented by A2 who is partner of above said corporation. The Authorized representative of complainant is present. Accused counsel of A1, A2 is Present and filed of admission petition. Copy of admission petition is furnished to free of complainant. Both sides heard. This complainant is filed by complainant with regard to contravention of Rule 19(2) and Rule 14(4) of Drugs (Price control) order, 1995 resulting in punishable offence u/s.3(2)(c) r/w 7(1)(a)(ii) of Essential Commodities Act, 1955. It is alleged that A1 corporation wherein daily affairs managed by A2 as partner of corporation.

On 10.05.2012, Complainant having inspected A1 company it is found that A1 has sold the Drugs to retailers at price exceeding the price of said drugs along with 5% VAT, through it has been exempted thereby violating rule 19(2) Drug order, 1995. Further it is alleged that A1 managed by

A2 have not displayed price list thereby contraventions has resulted in offence u/s. 3(2)(c) r/w 7(1) (a)(ii) of Essential Commodities Act, 1955 On perusal of records it is found that A2 on behalf of A1 corporation has made payment of extra tax to the Government. Further exceeding Price at which drugs sold is around Rs.4000/- in value. Considering the above circumstances, age of case and accused absence of previous antecedents, nature of offence, no substantial harm as stated in sub Section 23 of Section 7(1)(a)(ii) EC Act, this court finds that a sentence of imprisonment less than 3 months can be imposed. Accordingly A1 corporation (represented by its partner A2 is found guilty for offence u/s.3(2)(c) EC Act r/w 19(2),14(4) Drug control Order, 1955 Punishable u/s.7(1)(a)(ii) EC Act and imposed fine f Rs.5000/- in default of payment of fine to undergo simple imprisonment for 1 week. A2 is found guilty for offence u/s.3(2)(c) EC Act r/w 19(2), 14(4) drug control order 1955 Punishable u/s.7(1)(a)(ii) EC Act Sentenced to imprisonment till raising of Court and imposed fine Rs.5000/- in default of payment of fine to undergo simple imprisonment for 1 week.

The property seized drugs (4 Numbers) in P.R.No.03/2012 of Hon'ble Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ramanathapuram which has already been handed over in custody of complainant is order to be destroyed after appeal period (if any)

Total fine of Rs.10,000/-

Judicial Magistrate No.II (FAC),
Ramnathapuram