

**IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO II,
RAMANATHAPURAM**

**Present: Thiru. S.B. Sujith, B.A.,B.L.,(HONS)
District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Rameshwaram
Judicial Magistrate No II(FAC), Ramanathapuram
Thursday, the 02nd day of April 2026**

**Cr.M.P. No. 11/2026
CNR.No.TNRM02-000031-2026**

Mr. T.R. Seenivasan,
S/o. T. Ramasamy,
No.15/10/1, Magarnombu Pottal Road,
Ramanathapuram.

..... Petitioner

Versus

1. Mohammed Jahangir,
S/o. Mohammed Meerasa,
No. 7/50 Patchi Valaiyakaara Street,
Ramanathapuram.

2. B. Sathishkumar,
S/o. Bose,
Radiyalogist,
Block Medical Officer, PHC,
No. 1/770, VAM Nagar,
Bharathinagar,
Ramanathapuram.

..... Respondents

The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch P.S,
Ramanathapuram.

..... Respondent Police

For Petitioner : Tr. Vanniyaraja. Advocate.

This Petition taken on file on 06.01.2026 and coming up for final hearing on 02.04.2026. Upon hearing the arguments, upon perusal of the records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court delivers the following.

ORDER

1. This petition is filed u/s 175(3) BNSS seeking direction to R2 to register Fir against R3, R4.

2. The Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petition was taken on file as CrMP No. 11/2026 and while pending for enquiry, on behalf of Accused/R3, R4 a memo was filed along with order copy of learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram in Cr.M.P No. 1293/2025 dated 08.08.2025. This court on perusing same finds that petitioner has alleged the petition mentioned averments before learned Judicial Magistrate No.I Court, Ramanathapuram in Cr.M.P No. 1293/2025 and same was dismissed on various reasons.

3. Hence this petition alleging same accusation against is nothing but abusing process of law. It is also found that petition in Cr.M.P 1293/2025 was dismissed not on jurisdiction ground but after a brief discussion as to facts alleged and based on enquiry report of Inspector of Ramanathapuram Bazaar Police station that is filed in Cr.M.P. 1453/2025 of Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram.

4. This petitioner has not approached this court with clean hands but has attempted to try a chance in the guise of jurisdiction. Considering all these, this court opines filing numerous petition before different court without mentioning in averments the details as to previous court's dismissed order falls under abusing the provision u/s. 175(3) BNSS.

5. Result:-

Hence this petition u.s. 175(3) BNSS is dismissed as 'Not maintainable'.

**Dictated to the typist, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court, this
02nd day of April, 2026.**

**Judicial Magistrate No.II(FAC),
Ramanathapuram.**