



**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE,
RAMANATHAPURAM**

**PRESENT: Thiru.C. Mohanram, M.A., B.L.,
Additional District Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Friday the 17th day of April 2026

Original Suit No.148/2025

(CNR No.TNRM 01 001962 2025)

1. Tippusultan
2. Haiderali
3. Avuliyabegum ... Plaintiffs
(Plaintiffs 2 & 3 are represented
by their Power Agent 1st plaintiff)

/Vs/

1. Noormohamed
(Exonerated as per Memo dated 13.03.2026)
2. Jeganprasath
3. Kishore
4. Azhagarsamy ... Defendants

This suit came up for final hearing on 07.04.2026 before this Court in the presence of Thiru.P.Natarajan, Counsel for the plaintiffs and Thiru.P.Venkatesan, Counsel for the defendants 2 to 4 and 1st defendant has



exonerated and compromise petition has filed u/Or.23 R.3 in I.A.No.5/2026 and the same was recorded and as per compromise petition this Court delivers the following...

JUDGMENT

The suit has been filed by plaintiffs for declaration that the suit properties belong to them and for consequential injunction restraining the 4th defendant and his men and agents from not interfering with the possession and enjoyment of the 1st plaintiff for himself and on behalf of other plaintiffs and in case this Court has come to conclusion that the plaintiffs are not in possession of the properties granting recovery of possession and for declaration that the Sale deed dated 07.03.2000 executed by 1st defendant as not valid and also for declaration that the Sale deed dated 10.04.2022 executed by 1st defendant from his Power Agent as not valid and for declaration that the Sale deed dated 18.03.2023 executed by defendants 2 and 3 in favour of 4th defendant as not valid and for cost.

2. During the pendency of the suit, the plaintiffs have not pressed the suit as against 1st defendant and plaintiffs and defendants 2 to 4 have filed a compromise petition under Order 23 Rule 3 CPC. On behalf of the plaintiffs,



the 1st plaintiff and on behalf of the defendants, the 4th defendant have been examined as PW1 and PW2. They in their evidence have deposed that they have settled the dispute between them and pass a compromise decree in terms of compromise petition filed by them.

3. This Court considered the evidence as well as compromise petition filed by both parties. The defendants have agreed to pass a decree in terms of compromise petition. The parties have come to a settlement that the plaintiffs have to sell 8 cents of land out of 19 cents and the plaintiff have also received sale consideration from the 4th defendant the plaintiffs have agreed to execute the Sale deed in favour of 4th defendant. The plaintiffs have agreed to give 15 feet breadth on the east west till the end of 8 cents that has to be sold to plaintiff as pathway and both parties have agreed to enjoy the pathway jointly and the remaining 8 cents are allotted to the share of plaintiffs which is on the north eastern side of the total extent of 19 cents.

4. As the parties have settled in terms of compromise petition filed by them, this Court passed a compromise decree and judgment in favour of plaintiffs and 4th defendant as per compromise petition. The compromise

TNRM010019622025



4

petition filed by both parties shall form a part of this decree and suit is decreed accordingly.

Dictated to the Steno-Typist, transcribed and typed by her in the Computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the open Court this the 17th day of April 2026.

**Additional District Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
17.04.2026**