



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Wednesday, the 08th day of July 2026

**Crl.M.P.No.953/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-001696-2026)**

1. Soundararajan, (aged 30),
S/o.Mathiyazhagan.

2. Mathiyazhagan, (aged 51),
S/o.Navakandam.

3. Kasiyammal, (aged 51),
W/o.Mathiyazhagan.

4. Akash, (aged 26),
S/o.Mathiyazhagan.

5. Selvi, (aged 34),
W/o.Muthuramalingam.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 5

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
A.W.P.S., Paramakudi.

in Cr.No.10/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 17.06.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.M.Vigneswaran, B.A., L.L.B., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and the Inspector of Police/Station House officer appearing for the prosecution and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioners/ A1 to A5 who were apprehend arrest at the hands of the



respondent police in Cr.No.10/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.85, 296(b), 351(2) BNS, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and the A1 are husband and wife and A5 are family friend of the A1 and others are family members of the A1. There was a matrimonial dispute between and all the accused tortured for dowry and assaulted the defacto complainant and then she is residing with her parental home and on complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that there is a family dispute between them and that most of the investigation is complete and that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners and that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and they would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. On the side of the prosecution, it is represented that 4 witnesses have been examined and that two previous cases are pending against the 4th petitioner for the offence u/s. 399 IPC including TNP Act.

5. The dispute between the parties is matrimonial in nature. There are previous cases pending against the 4th petitioner. However, considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the substantial part of the



investigation is over and also that there are no criminal antecedents against the 1 to 3 and 5th petitioners, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi**; on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioners/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioners shall report before the **Inspector of Police, Respondent Police Station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of July 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
08.07.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,*

“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, (through e-mail),
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, A.W.P.S., Paramakudi,
The petitioners through their Counsel.