



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Tuesday, the 23rd day of June 2026

**Crl.M.P.No.924/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-001641 -2026)**

Murali, (aged 31),
S/o.Chellaiah.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Peraiyur P.S.,
in Cr.No.87/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 15.06.2026 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.J.Sheik Ibrahim, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.KR.M..Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.06.2026 by the respondent police in Cr.No.87/2026 for the offence punishable U/s. 296(b), 351(3) BNS r/w section 25(1)(A) Arms Act, 1959, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was previous enmity between the parties, and in connection therewith, a case is already pending. Subsequently, on 14.06.2026, at about 9.30 a.m., while the defacto complainant was present at her tiffin



stall, the petitioner came there armed with a sword, abused her in filthy language, and threatened to kill her and her father by brandishing the sword. Based on the complaint lodged, the present case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and that he was arrested on 14.06.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 10 days. . He further submitted that no one was injured most of the investigation has been completed that if the petitioner is released on bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not abscond and hence, he prays to release the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.06.2026 and that counter case has also been registered and that five witnesses have been examined and that one previous case is pending against the petitioner u/s. 351(3) BNS and that if the petitioner is released on bail, he would indulge in similar offence again.

5. There is criminal antecedent against the petitioner. However, considering the nature of offence and period of incarceration and the fact that property has been secured and that substantial part of the investigation is complete, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with the following conditions;

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of a bond for a sum Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) **with two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **District Munsif -cum-Judicial Magistrate,**



Kamuthi;

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that **the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of police, Kamuthi Police Station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of June 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.06.2026

TNRM010016412026



➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to:

The District Munsif -cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi, (through e-mail)

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Peraiyur P.S.,

The Inspector of Police, Kamuthi P.S.,

The petitioner through his Counsel.

The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail)