



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: **Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,**
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.

Wednesday, the 17th day of June 2026

CrI.M.P.No.852/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-001537 -2026)

Prabaharan, (aged 36),
S/o.Sekar.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Nainarkovil P.S.,
in Cr.No.105/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 08.06.2026 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of
Thiru.K.Anbuezhayan, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and
Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and
upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 05.06.2026 by the respondent police in Cr.No.105/2026 for the offence punishable
U/s. 296(b), 351(3) BNS r/w section 25(1)(A) Arms Act, 1959, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that at the time of vehicle inspection
conducted by the respondent police on 05.06.2026 at about 2.30 p.m and they found
that the petitioner has illegally possessed a sword and threatening the public. Further
the petitioner was apprehended by the police along with weapon and in



consequences, the present case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contention is that he had obtained anticipatory bail from Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in Cr.No.96/2026 u/s.303(2) BNS r/w section 21(1) of MMDR Act on 05.06.2026 . Enraged by this, police has falsely registered this present case against this accused and arrested him on 05.06.2026 and that he has not committed any such crime and has been judicial custody for more than 11 days. He further submitted that 3 cases are pending against this petitioner and that most of the investigation is complete that if the petitioner is released on bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not abscond and hence, he prays to release the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 05.06.2026 and that the property has been secured and that 7 witnesses have been examined. He further submitted that five previous cases are pending against the petitioner out of which 4 cases are sand theft and another case is SC/ST Act and if released on bail he would be abscond and would be difficult to arrest him.

5. There are antecedents against the petitioner. However, considering that the petitioner/accused has already obtained anticipatory bail in the previous case and that the present FIR was registered on the same day, and also considering that the



petitioner is in custody for more than 11 days, and that substantial part of the investigation is complete, hence this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with the following conditions.

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of a bond for a sum Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) **with two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) Considering the facts of the previous cases, **the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of police, Rameswaram Town Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the



Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 17th day of June 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
17.06.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“<https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to:

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, (through e-mail)

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Nainarkovil P.S.,

The Inspector of Police, Rameswaram Town P.S.,

The petitioner through his Counsel.

The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail)