



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Monday, the 08th day of June 2026

**CrI.M.P.No.795/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-001460-2026)**

1. Pasumalai, (aged 31),
S/o.Vellimuthu.

2. Ramu, (aged 35),
S/o.Vellimuthu.

3. Satheesh, (aged 36),
S/o.Vellimuthu.

...Petitioners/Accused No.2 to 4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Thiruppullani P.S.,
in Cr.No.138/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 03.06.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.A.Bharathiraja, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioners/A2 to A4 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.138/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) BNS, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a previous enmity between the



defacto complainant's family and A2 regarding the defacto complainant's husband cutting a tree belonged to A2. Due to which, on 31.05.2026 at about 7.00 p.m when the defacto complainant and her husband were in front of their house, the petitioners and another came there and abused them in filthy language and assaulted them with wooden log (மடல்) and sickle on their back and left side head and caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences and on complaint the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and they would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that there are totally 4 accused involved in this case and that the petitioners are arrayed as A2 to A4 . He further submitted that the injured was discharged from hospital and that five witnesses have been examined.

5. Considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured has been discharged from hospital and substantial part of



the investigation is over, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram**; on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioners/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioners shall report before the **Inspector of Police, respondent Police Station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned



Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of June 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
08.06.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail),
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Thiruppullani P.S.,
The petitioners through their Counsel.