



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALIKHAN, B.L.M. L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.DDF.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

**Monday, the 08th day of June 2026
Crl.M.P.No.820/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-001500-2026)**

Poopandi, (aged 30),
S/o.Alagar

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Mudukulathur P.S.,
in Cr.No.121/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 05.06.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.J.Sheik Ibrahim, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.78, 79, 351(3) BNS r/w 67 IT Act in Cr.No.121/2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. According to the prosecution, on 03.06.2026 at about 9.30 p.m, while the complainant was at home, the petitioner came there in a drunken stage at intentions of



sexual harassment and he was give a sum of Rs.1000/- which was refused by her and the same was informed to her husband. On the same day, she called him over phone to his house as advised by her husband and then the petitioner came there again at the intention of sexual mood, and he abused him in filthy language and threatened him with dire consequences. Based on the complaint, a case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has not committed any such offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted there is no intention to sexual harassment the defacto complainant and that most of the investigation is over and hat if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any by this court and he would not abscond. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that no one was injured and that most of the investigation is complete and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he would commit in similar offence again and that four witnesses have been examined and that no previous case.

5. Considering the serious nature of offence and that the the victim is a married women and that the investigation is not yet complete and also in view of the



act of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Therefore, the petition is dismissed.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of June 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
08.06.2026

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“<https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Mudukulathur P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.