



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Tuesday, the 16th day of June 2026

**Crl.M.P.No.830/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-001495-2026)**

Vignesh, (aged 34),
S/o.Karunanithi.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Nainarkovil P.S.,
in Cr.No.100/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 05.06.2026 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Chellamani, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of ThiruB,Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both side submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A1 who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 04.06.2026 in Cr.No.100/2026 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.189(2), 296(b), 118(1), 109, 324(4) BNS, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 31.05.2026 at about 9.00 a.m there was a wordy dispute arose between the defacto complainant and the



accused/petitioner regarding placing of flex board. Due to which, on the same day at evening, all the accused came to the occurrence place and abused the defacto complainant and his friends and abused them in filthy language and assaulted with wooden log and stones on their head and caused injuries and also damaged the swift car bearing Reg.No. TN 65 BU 9491 which was parked on the occurrence place and on complaint the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further submitted that the petitioner was arrested on 04.06.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 13 days and that the injured were discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is over. He further submitted that counter case has also been registered in Cr.No.1012026 and that no previous case against the petitioner and that the if the petitioner is released on bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not abscond and also not tamper or threaten the witnesses. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 8 accused are involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A1 and that others moved anticipatory bail before this Court. He further submitted that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 30.05.2026 and that three persons were injured and they have been discharged from hospital. He further submitted



that counter case has also been registered in Cr.N o.101/2026 and that both side injured were discharged on the injured and that 18 witnesses have been examined . He further submitted that four previous cases are pending against the petitioner out of which 3 cases are 34, 392 IPC and 324, 506(ii) IPC and that if the petitioner is released on bail, there is every possibility that he would indulge in similar offence again..

5. There are criminal antecedents against the petitioner and he is in judicial custody for more than 12 days. However, considering the nature of the offence, and the period of incarceration and the fact that the injured have been discharged from hospital and further considering that major part of the investigation is complete, and considering the nature of offence, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with the following stringent conditions;

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of a bond for a sum Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) **with two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) Considering the facts of the previous cases, **the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of police, Thermal Nagar Police Station, Thoothukudi,**



Thoothukudi District daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of June 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
16.06.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

TNRM010014952026



Copy to:

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi (through e-mail)

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Theremal Nagar Police Station, Thoothukudi,
Thoothukudi District.

The Inspector of Police, Nainarkovil P.S.,

The petitioner through his Counsel.

The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail)