



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Monday, the 08th day of June 2026

**Crl.M.P.No.822/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-001502-2026)**

Jeyamurugan, (aged 53),
S/o.Palanichamy.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Uchipuli P.S.,
in Cr.No.164/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 05.06.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.M.Mohanbabu, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A1 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.164/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.189(2), 127, 296(b), 118(1), 351(3), 303(2) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that there is a wordy altercation between the defacto complainant who is working as building word and a van driver namely Sakthi regarding uploading the building materials in a vehicle. Following which on on



29.05.2026 at about 7.00 p.m, the defacto complainant was starting his two wheeler at that time several persons attacked him with sword on behind him and all the persons assaulted him over all body and caused injuries and also threatened him with dire consequences and on complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence and that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is over. He further contended that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 5 accused were involved in this case and that the petitioner is arrayed as A1 and that A2 moved for anticipatory bail and others are still absconding. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from hospital on 31.05.2026 and that four witnesses have been examined and there is no previous case against the petitioner.

5. Considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured was discharged from hospital and that substantial part of the investigation is over and that there is no criminal antecedents, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of



arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram**; on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall report before the **Inspector of Police, Respondent police station, daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner



released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the

Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of June 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
08.06.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“<https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail),
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Uchipuli P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.