



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M.,
PG.D.PM/IR, Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Tuesday, the 16th day of June 2026

**CrI.M.P.No.794/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-001459-2026)**

Balamurugan, (aged 30),
S/o.Malaiyandi.

...Petitioner/Accused No.6

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram.
in Cr.No.110/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 03.06.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.M.Muthukumar, the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A6 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.110/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 318(4) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the Manager of a ready-made garments shop. On 06.03.2026, at about 4:45 p.m., the defacto complainant and another person were in the staff room when the petitioner and others



arrived in a car bearing Registration No. TN 12 D 6681. They introduced themselves as Income Tax officers and asked the defacto complainant to accompany them to the shop for inspection. The defacto complainant asked their ID cards, they refused to give the same. Believing their representation, the defacto complainant went along with the petitioner and seven others. Once there, they collected the mobile phones of the staff, dishonestly took a sum of Rs.6,10,000/- from the cash box, assaulted the staff with their hands, and threatened them with dire consequences. Based on the complaint, a case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the petitioner name is not found in the FIR and that there is no direct involvement against this petitioner and that most of the investigation is over and that some of the amount has been recovered from A1 and that earlier two anticipatory bail application was dismissed by Vacation Sessions Court, Ramanthapuram in CrI.M.P.No.153/2026 dated 27.05.2026 and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 9 accused are involved in this case and that the petitioner is arrayed as A6 and that A1 to A4 were released



on mandatory bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram on 12.05.2026 and others are still absconding and that A9 was released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P.No.9905/2026 dated 09.06.2026 and that others are still absconding. He further submitted that the petitioner is belongs to Sivagangai District and that the amount cheated in this case is Rs.6,10,000/- out of which Rs.1,59,300/- was recovered from A1 and that vehicles involved in this case were secured. Based on confession of A1, other accused included in this case and that at the time of occurrence the petitioner is there along with other accused and there is direct involvement against this petitioner and that earlier application was dismissed on 27.05.2026 and that the investigation is not yet complete and other accused are yet to be arrested and that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, there is every possibility that he would threaten the witnesses and tamper the evidence and has objected to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

5. It is an offence of cheating by impersonating Income Tax officers. There is specific overt-act against the petitioner as per prosecution. The cheated amount of Rs.6,10,000/- out of which Rs.1,59,300/- was recovered from A1 and also vehicles involved in this case were secured and that the remaining amount and also mobiles phones are yet to be recovered.

6. The earlier application was dismissed on 27.05.2026, and there is no change



of circumstances since the earlier dismissal orders. Considering the grave nature of the offence, the huge amount involved in the case, the fact that the investigation is not yet complete, and the submission of the prosecution that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, as well as the fact that the other accused are yet to be arrested and the remaining amount is yet to be recovered, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of June 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.06.2026.

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram,
The petitioner through his Counsel.