



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,**

Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.

Monday, the 16th day of March 2026

CrI.M.P.No.464/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000807-2026)

Aatheeswaran, (aged 25),
S/o.Muthupandi.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Nainarkovil P.S.,
in Cr.No.36/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 10.03.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.T.M.Arunkannan, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.36/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 351(3) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. According to the prosecution, the defacto complainant is a street vegetable vendor. On 05.03.2026 at about 5.30 p.m., while the defacto complainant was doing his work and had stopped his vehicle at the corner of the road, the petitioner was proceeding in a school van. At that time, a wordy altercation arose between them.



Following the same, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and attacked him with a wooden log on his head, thereby causing injuries. The petitioner also threatened him with dire consequences. Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that the injured was discharged from hospital on 11.03.2026 and that five witnesses have been examined. He further submitted that similar nature of 4 previous cases are pending against the petitioner.

5. There are similar nature of previous cases pending against the petitioner. However, considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured was discharged from hospital and that the substantial part of the investigation is over, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with the following stringent conditions;



6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi**; on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) **Considering the facts of the previous cases, the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, Kamuthi police station daily once at 11.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in



accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of March 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.03.2026

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site, “<https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kamuthi P.S.,
The Inspector of Police, Nainarkovil P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.