



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Monday, the 16th day of March 2026

**CrI.M.P.No.451/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000780-2026)**

1. Muthukumar, (aged 42),
S/o.Nagendhiran.

2. Muneeswaran, (aged 38),
S/o.Chandhiran.

...Petitioners/Accused No.4,5

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Rameswaram Temple P.S.,
in Cr.No.10/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 07.03.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Srikanth, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioners/A4, A5 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.10/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.189(2), 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) BNS, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 28.02.2026 at about 4.55 p.m., when the defacto complainant was proceeding on a two-wheeler, the petitioners and others waylaid him, abused him in filthy language, attacked him with a stone, an iron rod,



and their hands, and also threatened him with dire consequences . The occurrence is stated to have arisen due to the defacto complainant having spoken about the head of A1, namely Basakaran. Based on the complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the injured was discharged from the hospital and that co-accused (A1 to A3) were released on anticipatory bail and that most of the investigation is complete and that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and they would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 5 accused are involved in this case and the petitioners are arrayed as A4 and A5 and that others were released on anticipatory on 09.03.2026. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from hospital on 14.03.2026 and that five witnesses have been examined and that no previous cases against the petitioners.

5. Considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured has been discharged from hospital and that substantial part of the investigation is over and that co-accused were released, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of



arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **District Munsif – cum - Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram**; on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioners/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioners shall report before the **Inspector of Police, respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the



Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of March 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.03.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ * *Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The District Munsif – cum - Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram (through e-mail),
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Rameswaram Temple P.S.,
The petitioners through their Counsel.