

TNRM010007712026



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Tuesday, the 10th day of March 2026

**CrI.M.P.No.446/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000771-2026)**

1. Senthur, (aged 20),
S/o.Murugesan.
 2. Anandh @ Anandhamurugan, (aged 19),
S/o.Chellapandi.
 3. Satheesh @ Satheeshkumar, (aged 19),
S/o.Muthuselvam.
 4. Kanivasan @ Kanimurugan, (aged 20),
S/o.Samuvel.
- ...Petitioners/Accused No.1-4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Rameswaram Town P.S.,
in Cr.No.58/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 07.03.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Muthuduraisamy, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioners/A1 to A4 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.58/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.189(2), 296(b), 115(2),



118(1), 324(4), 351(3) BNS, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that there was a previous enmity between A1 and the defacto complainant in connection with affixed a flex. Subsequently, on 04.03.2026 at about 8.45 p.m when the defacto complainant and his uncle were proceeding in a two wheeler near a restaurant, the petitioners and others came there in 3 two wheelers along with weapons and abused them in filthy language and assaulted them with wooden log, stones and bottles on their back, right hand, head, shoulder and caused injuries and damaged the defacto complainant's two wheeler and also threatened them with dire consequences and on complaint the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the injured were discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners and that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and they would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 7 accused are involved in this case and the petitioners arrayed as A1 to A4 and others are still absconding. He



further submitted that the injured were discharged from hospital on 08.03.2026 and that four witnesses have been examined and that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners.

5. Considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured were discharged from hospital and also considering that the substantial part of the investigation is over and that there are no criminal antecedents against the petitioners, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram**; on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioners/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioners shall report before the **Inspector of Police, Respondent**



police station, daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 10th day of March 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
10.03.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,*

“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

TNRM010007712026



Copy to

The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Rameswaram Town P.S.,
The petitioners through their Counsel.